

**Water access and community engagement: creating the right environment for maximizing the benefits of community engagement processes and increasing water participation in Nigeria**

Ugbah, Rosemary; Meldrum, Anita; Ehiwario, Kester

*Published in:*  
Water Resources Management IX

*DOI:*  
[10.2495/WRM170131](https://doi.org/10.2495/WRM170131)

*Publication date:*  
2017

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in ResearchOnline](#)

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Ugbah, R, Meldrum, A & Ehiwario, K 2017, Water access and community engagement: creating the right environment for maximizing the benefits of community engagement processes and increasing water participation in Nigeria. in CA Brebbia & Z Boukalova (eds), *Water Resources Management IX*. vol. 220, WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, vol. 220, WIT Press, pp. 129-139. <https://doi.org/10.2495/WRM170131>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at <https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179> for details of how to contact us.

# **Water Access and Community Engagement: Creating the right environment for maximizing the benefits of community engagement processes and increasing water participation in Nigeria.**

Rosemary Ugbah, Department of Construction & Surveying, School of Engineering & the Built Environment, Glasgow Caledonian University, Scotland

Dr Anita Meldrum, Department of Construction & Surveying, School of Engineering & the Built Environment, Glasgow Caledonian University, Scotland

## **ABSTRACT**

The perennial problem of access to water in developing countries needs new approaches in order to ensure safe and reliable water supplies are provided, particularly for rural and peri-urban communities. Sub-Sahara Africa has the greatest lack of access to improved water supplies of any region in the world. This issue is particularly severe in Nigeria. It is argued that if preventative measures are not implemented quickly, Nigeria will be facing absolute water scarcity by the year 2025.

Recent empirical studies have appraised the relevance of community engagement, which involves the participation of all relevant stakeholders in determining the way water issues are dealt with in communities at the local level. Despite significant literature on the association between community participation, sustainability and improved water access, there is little literature about which particular type of community engagement process matters the most with regard to enhancing participation in Nigeria.

In the Nigerian context, effective community engagement could be achieved through practical water management planning, awareness, consultation, collaboration and implementation. However, this is easier said than done. The practicality of engaging Nigerian communities in water management is highly challenging, with numerous barriers, including high rate of poverty, corruption and rapid population rise.

This study is concerned with identifying the best strategies and activities that are practical, affordable and sustainable, that could reduce or eliminate participation barriers and so enhance informing, consultation, involvement, empowerment and partnership processes in Nigeria. The findings will be used to facilitate participation within Nigerian communities and help to underpin the provision of potable water for all.

*Keywords: water access, safe and reliable water supply, stakeholders, engagement, participation*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

For Nigerian development, water is a crucial resource with great implications<sup>27</sup>. The two most challenging tasks facing mankind are to ensure that both present and future generations have adequate food and water and that natural resources and environment are maintained<sup>29</sup>. It is unlikely that any other sector will have more substantial and spontaneous impact on poverty alleviation in Nigeria than the water sector; if the objectives of poverty alleviation and other related socio-economic problems must be achieved. The water sector is likely to be the major driving force for these achievements. Without undermining the importance of other sectors, a substantial increase in water supply investment will directly improve living conditions and reduce widespread waterborne mortality and morbidity.

Addressing the fundamental causes of water scarcity requires an intersectoral and multidisciplinary approach; particularly water resource management and infrastructure development. Owing to unsuccessful government efforts to address water scarcity and population rise, by the year 2025, Nigeria is expected to be facing absolute water scarcity,<sup>25</sup> i.e. when water supply per capita/annum is less than 500m<sup>3</sup>. There is urgent need to take action to reduce water scarcity; particularly because of population rise and its influence in Sub Saharan Africa (SSA). SSA water achievement progress is to an extent dependent upon Nigeria's water success. Increasing access to improved water requires participation across all sectors, taking into account development, management, supply, use and demand whilst placing emphasis on the people and their livelihoods.

In the last decade there has been an attempt to address the challenge of lack of access to clean water by addressing the sustainability of water infrastructure. This has led to water planners shifting from the well-known centralized, supply-driven paradigm towards strategies that are centred on demand (Briscoe and Ferranti, 1988). This paradigm shift was propelled by the International Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Decade (1981–1990) assessment which blamed the supply-driven approach for the untimely failure of water infrastructure built during the decade in sub-Saharan Africa and the percentage of failed hand pumps projects remains disappointingly high. The supply driven approach resulted in projects that do not sometimes reflect the needs and preferences of the community, leading to the provision of assets that are either unwanted or cannot be operated or sustained (Slaymaker et al., 2005).

However, the demand-oriented strategy which is sometimes interchanged in this research with the bottom-up approach has the potential to practically target community needs and preferences, i.e. identify communities that are desperately in need of water supply improvement projects. It has proven to have positive impact on community participation throughout the planning and implementation stages and also bestows on them the responsibility of making key decisions with regard to the sustainability of their project<sup>1</sup>.

On the other hand, it was also established that communities that were in control of decisions made during the planning and construction of their project had improved project effectiveness, measured by the quality of construction, management and sustainability, i.e. the higher the community control in a project the higher the project efficiency<sup>37</sup>. Thus, researchers, donors, government and other organisations are rapidly researching community engagement and end user inputs into water projects.

## 2. WATER SCARCITY IN NIGERIA

Water scarcity is one of the major challenges faced by Nigerians, which is mainly economic water scarcity. This is caused by human, institutional and financial capitals that limit water access<sup>62</sup>. It is difficult to track Nigeria's water progress due to lack of adequate data<sup>61</sup>. This makes it difficult to determine how much progress is being made with regard to meeting the MDG goals. Nigeria's water resources are estimated to be 226 billion m<sup>3</sup> of surface water and about 40 billion m<sup>3</sup> of ground water<sup>50</sup>. However, nearly 90 million residents, both in urban and rural areas still do not have access to improved drinking water sources<sup>17</sup>. This has placed the country way behind many other developing countries in Sub-Saharan Africa like Rwanda, Botswana and Sierra Leone regarding access to potable water. The UNICEF (2015) report shows that the population of residents with access to potable water in urban and rural parts of the country are 57% and 31% respectively. Although, according to various reports, it may be as low as 40% and 20% for effective coverage<sup>47</sup>.

## 4 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PROCESS FOR INCREASED PARTICIPATION IN NIGERIA

In Nigeria, there is a high failure rate for water projects<sup>16</sup>. Levels of community engagement demonstrate the degree to which the beneficiaries of any development project should be involved. This can also be adapted and applied to water projects<sup>58</sup>. To achieve sustainability in water projects, there must be full participation by all individuals or representatives in all stages of project management. Results from recent research suggest that the depth of participation is likely to yield narrow community participation rather than breadth of participation<sup>32</sup>. Thus, the depth of engagement is more important for the sustainability of water projects in a community. The degree of community participation during planning and decision making has a direct positive impact on community project satisfaction.<sup>32</sup> Interestingly, project engagement is very limited in Nigeria. However, the major concerns of project organisers have been identified to be their own success/profit factors<sup>49</sup> rather than stakeholder interests.

Effective community engagement; particularly in projects as sensitive as water, is associated with numerous benefits. However, achieving success could be a difficult challenge, particularly in developing countries like Nigeria, due to a range of factors.<sup>33</sup> Stakeholder management is not the same thing as stakeholder engagement. Stakeholder management, which is widespread in water projects in Nigeria is to design a project for the benefit of the community without any input from them. In contrast, engagement shows organisations relating with the stakeholder<sup>18</sup> to improve both benefits and developments.

Effective engagement of stakeholders brings benefits to projects by reducing conflicts and increasing cooperation between the organisers and the community. On the other hand, ineffective engagement creates more prominent problems, which could put the community backwards. This is the case in some community projects in Nigeria. Stakeholder interaction with projects can be confronted from two perspectives; cultural and political. These

issues combined together create significant barriers to stakeholder participation. This paper will adopt the five engagement process from Arnstein's ladder<sup>69</sup> which includes, informing, consultation, involvement (Delegated power), empowerment (Citizen control) and the partnership process for increasing stakeholder's participation.




Fig 4.1 Eight rungs on the ladder of citizen participation<sup>5</sup>

### 5 STAKEHOLDER INFORMING PROCESS

The stakeholder informing process is the first step to participation, which initially involves stakeholders receiving information from the relevant organisations or institution. It is usually one-way traffic in the beginning<sup>5</sup>. It is also used to create high levels of awareness on the subject matter within the community as well. However, as this proceeds, there is room for negotiation and feedback, which improves the input of stakeholder decisions and could accelerate stakeholder engagement and participation<sup>5</sup> in most situations, information can be given out rather too late or is withheld, reducing the negotiating power of the stakeholder. In Nigeria, this stage of the participation process is currently improving as a result of the internet revolution and better telecommunications. Stakeholders are now becoming more aware of the importance of knowledge and are equipping themselves with adequate knowledge to help improve the quality of decision making.

Most telecommunications companies<sup>30</sup> are offering internet services which are now being utilized in most communities. This implies that a considerable number of Nigerian communities have good circulation of information and learning processes are currently well improved in Nigerian water projects. However, the stakeholders Informing stage does not necessarily lead to full participation. This means that, despite a successful informing process, there could be other barriers that limit the engagement and participation of an informed person. PESTLE factors, classified as political and cultural factors are some of the barriers that could contribute to inadequate participation after the informing process.

### 6 STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION PROCESS

The consultation process is a step higher in the participation ranking. It is designed with the intent to reflect the concerns of the stakeholder at the end of the engagement process. It is a case where the community is consulted before a project takes place. The community Consultation level is usually used to facilitate the decision making process. This can be applied via both top-down or bottom-top approaches. It has been successful as one of the key drivers in the delivery of urban water quality improvement programmes. This approach to public participation has been adopted in most disciplines due to its ability to provide a good framework for informing and educating members of the community, organisations and other relevant stakeholder in matters arising. Consultation encourages participation and individual or group input into the decision making process.<sup>5, 7, 24</sup>

Strategies used could vary depending upon the particular circumstances. However, they also depend upon what the consultation process aims to achieve. For instance, consultation in water provision could include equipping stakeholder with the necessary information to facilitate constructive engagement in the water provision discourse. It can also be used to encourage acceptance or rejection of projects and discourse on the legal requirement of the

projects, to avoid litigation. Attempts to address issues as they emerge are made rather than allowing them to pile up and make it difficult to address them in future.

One major setback for water projects, particularly in Nigeria, is that the majority of the time, consultation does not take place before<sup>23</sup> projects are initiated. It is usually just one-way flow of information<sup>23</sup> from the government to the community informing them of their rights, responsibilities and options; in most cases after the project has been developed. Lack of consultation inhibits community participation; because the community is not given the opportunity to make its own decisions or even feedback or negotiate about what is likely to suit them. This is a completely top-down strategy with the consequences of failed projects and developments.

Although there is insufficient data to establish the actual level of consultation that takes place in Nigeria's water projects, the high rate of disruption, abandonment, destruction of water projects and disruption of construction by either the community or organised community groups could directly be linked to lack of consultation prior to project commencement. Evidence from lack of consultation can be seen in cases where water projects, like pipe borne water, are being sited in areas that are detrimental or not acceptable to the community. There are also cases of water infrastructure being built on areas that are inaccessible to the community or on communal lands that are still under disputed ownership<sup>51</sup> or, in extreme cases, to incomplete, abandoned and destroyed water projects.

Consultation in most African Countries, including Nigeria, is still more a concept than a practice<sup>53</sup>. Because views do not necessarily represent the most affected people, there is a lack of full realisation of the rights of citizens and hence formal institutions arise which function poorly. In a recent survey, it can be seen that government, organisations, political parties and legislatures are among the least trusted institutions in developing<sup>53</sup> countries; far below other institutions like the church and community groups. This is rather unfortunate because it has caused a negative impact on the value of representation and engagement in construction projects. In a similar development, the organisations are wary of the community and do not trust them enough to consult them or accept them. Even when consultation takes place in Nigeria, it is sometimes a token consultation, which only takes place for the purpose of ticking the boxes and not to protect or acknowledge the interests of the community. This is typical of what can be seen in water projects in Nigeria.

In Nigerian consultation, there is a recurring problem which is termed the 'politics of participation'. This is still a major challenge, which needs to be overcome. Most times the organisers have made up their mind and taken a decision. However, they still arrange 'community consultation' just to tick the boxes that they have consulted people. In other words, the outcome of the consultation is not represented.

Another apparent and important issue that affects consultation in Nigeria is inconsistent interest between the community and the organiser or within the community itself, i.e. in most cases the government or the so-called institutions do not share the same goals or perceptions and this prevents consultation from taking place in the first place. Political instability is a major challenge, where projects are started by a former dispensation and then later neglected (abandoned) by a new dispensation. Although government officials take part in consultation, however, various literatures have suggested<sup>30,40</sup> that they show little or no interest in discussion and sometimes leave the project half way into the mud.

On a positive note, sometimes there is a relatively long history of working together with organisers and government. However, the primary concern is building on previous work rather than starting over again. According to the Engineering Department of the National Water Committee headquarters, Abuja, there are also cases where effective consultation in water projects in Nigeria has led to full participation. This was seen in the steps taken by the corporation in seven schemes established between 1994 and 2014 (Ukehi, Ovoko, Agbani, Oji River, Ngwo, Nnenwe and Udi). The consultation process included stakeholder planning activities, site selection, designing, selecting, implementation, monitoring, evaluation, empowerment, operation, repairs, regular maintenance, fund raising activities, policies formation and setting targets to be met.

## 7 STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

This is another level of engagement, which is important and could lead to full participation. It is a level of participation that gives the stakeholder the ability to voice their interests. This is the stage when the community becomes active. Involvement is an important aspect to include when maximizing community participation. This is the point where members of the community, government and outside organizations share planning, decisions and responsibility about development projects in the community. This will involve the use of structures like joint policy

boards, planning committees and other informal media for resolving problems and conflicts, allocating responsibility for operation and maintenance, investing in locally made materials and the local work force.

However, in Nigeria, involvement is mostly used in emergency cases where there is an urgent need for a reaction to a particular situation. Project organisers depriving the stakeholders of some expertise/training and concentrating on developing their own interests and priorities first is a norm. This is commonly seen in rural areas where there is a high level of illiteracy. The level of dishonesty at this stage is high in Nigeria, where the majority of the money is embezzled by a particular group of people who fail to involve the community for reasons best known to themselves. This has contributed to lack of participation in water projects in Nigeria. Evidence from Arnstein (1969) suggests that it is important to get this stage right with the least dishonesty as possible<sup>5</sup> because it helps to create trust between community members and the organisers and could lead to full participation. However, it could equally lead to non-participation if dishonesty and distrust are perceived by the affected community members.

## 8 STAKEHOLDER EMPOWERMENT PROCESS

Empowerment, known as a citizen control driven community participation approach, is crucial to practical community participation methods. When integrated with other critical parts of participation typologies it brings strong citizen influence and control.

Empowerment is a major key to community participation and is a higher participation level, after informing and consultation. This is classified as the highest ranking in the community participation ladder proposed for developing countries<sup>5</sup>. Empowerment includes awareness, education, training, or is in the form of giving community members the majority of seats to give them enough votes and/or specific powers to participate and make formal decisions about a project in their community.

This is particularly important in developing communities where municipal authorities are unwilling and/or unable to provide the continuously needed support to sustain a project infrastructure and communities are expected to initiate their own improvement, possibly with the help of NGOs or other organisations. This could be a result of lack of interest, lack of financial resources or simply incompetence.


Participation is a key component of empowerment and empowerment is key to participation as well. Although some authors suggest that high participation leads to empowerment through the process of socialisation<sup>52</sup>, some authors emphasise a bidirectional relationship between participation and empowerment, where one process promotes the other<sup>59</sup>. Thus, the lack of adequate participation in Nigeria has contributed to lack of empowerment. While water organisers are not willing to empower the community because they are not participating in the project, communities believe they cannot participate because they are not empowered. This discrepancy and lack of agreement as to which should come first has created a gap in the empowerment process and is considered to be a major barrier to enhancing participation.

## 9 STAKEHOLDER PARTNERSHIP PROCESS

Partnership is an important aspect to include when maximising community participation. From the practical perspective, it provides the stakeholder with the power to analyse, make decisions and take part in both the planning and implementation stages; because people are more likely to be committed to projects which they have helped to plan<sup>5</sup>.

Partnership in the Nigerian water sector in most cases is marginal partnership where there is little influence on the development process from the community<sup>51</sup>. This lack of substantial partnership is also very common in the oil rich areas where the community members feel neglected and abandoned and are unhappy seeing the wealth made<sup>30</sup> from their land used to develop other parts of the countries, as well as to enriching other indigenes. They are not employed by the companies or allowed to take part in most decisions. Upon complaint, these power holders create false partnership relationships which are perceived over time and the retaliation from the community ends up in non-participation in any projects within the community, including water projects as well.<sup>30</sup>

Although some communities in Nigeria are currently practising substantive or structural partnership with positive full participation results, this cannot be said for a large number of projects carried out within the country. Partnership in Nigeria is still at the stage where it is solely for the purpose of enriching the privileged rather, than helping the less privileged<sup>53</sup>.



**Fig 1 Continuum showing where Nigeria sits in water participation ladder**

Partnership in Nigeria is also limited due to insufficient or mismanaged state and local government resources. This has led to conflict between the different tiers of government, as well as compromising the efficiency of managing and accounting for public finances. This results in the lack of effective coordination between the tiers of government, avoidable waste and unnecessary duplication of efforts and leads to mismanaged partnership programmes and non-engagement or participation. These are coupled with the high rate of poverty<sup>2</sup> where over 80 million people (54.4%) live below the poverty line, according to the United Nation Report (2016). Despite Substantive partnership leading to full participation, some Nigeria communities are yet to achieve the level of partnership that can translate into full participation.

## 10 MAXIMISING THE BENEFITS OF THE ENGAGEMENT PROCESS FOR STAKEHOLDESRS PARTICIPATION

Engaging the community for the purpose of participation is a process, which could be very challenging, particularly in Nigeria. It is an umbrella term that extends beyond physical involvement to include the generation of ideas and sharing of responsibilities for the benefit of the people. It is important to point out that both the community and the water organisers have equal roles to play in water sustainability. It is a well-known fact that corruption and the economic situation have a huge impact on water provision in the country. However, community members have greater roles to play in terms of attracting water projects, as well as sustaining them. This can mainly be achieved through participation and engagement, which is not commonly seen in communities within the country.

The problem of lack of participation can be addressed with the following processes as mentioned above, i.e. informing, consultation, involvement, empowerment and partnership; known as the engagement process. However, its success depends upon the readiness of the community to engage.

### 10.1 Improving trust and credibility

The inability of the Government to provide basic infrastructure for its citizens over the years has resulted in lack of trust, credibility and effectiveness. This is a major problem in Nigeria and is mainly caused by the absence of good political intentions, influences from political parties and sponsors (political factor), the high corruption and poverty levels (economic factor). Many communities, particularly in Nigeria, have experienced poor or failed water projects and have little or no trust in most water provision programmes and organizers. This lack of trust has resulted in communities not being willing to participate in most water projects, as they are perceived a complete waste of their time and resources. The level of trust an individual has that a project will be successful is directly related to their confidence and participation commitment.

In order to maximize the benefits of the engagement process, trust and credibility should be reinstated into the community. The government, organizing body and the community trusted personnel (clergy, community leaders,

parents and teachers) should realize the link between success, trust and credibility. The greater the community trust for the organizing the bodies the more likely they are to embrace any aspect of the engagement process and vice versa. Unfortunately, this is a major challenge because most communities have little or no trust for the government and its allies.

Since most citizens have a considerable amount of trust for religious leaders, community leaders, parents and teachers, these leaders have been known to play major roles in the lives and decisions of their followers. Hence, their importance cannot be overemphasised. These leaders should be employed to work with water organisers, NGOs or the government to help rebuild the trust in and the credibility of a project in a community. In other words, they should be the first point of contact because of the influence they command.

## **10.2 Use of appropriate Consultation Processes**

Similarly, the timing of the consultation is as important as the consultation itself. Since community confidence and trust are built over time<sup>7</sup>, it is paramount to design an early and continuous consultation process specific to the community as early as possible; preferably when the project is still being considered. Community stakeholders should hear of the project first hand from the organisers to avoid speculation, which might lead to questioning the motives and intentions of the organisers. A major setback in project consultation is lack of sustainability. Most project planners carry out a one-off communication<sup>50</sup> process, mostly at the beginning of the project. However, consultation must be a continuous and ongoing process and communication must be in place throughout the whole project and even after the project is completed. Hence, effective communication and consultation in Nigeria should be an ongoing process to enhance participation. Consultation should also be carried out with the intent of informing, educating and seeking opinions of the stakeholders, not just to tick the boxes.

The issue of inconsistent interest between the community and the organiser, or within the community, i.e. in most cases the government, the water institutions or NGOs, should be reduced or eliminated if communities are aware of the importance of the agenda and the direction the agenda is heading in<sup>12</sup>. This is because it is important that the goals are continuously upheld throughout the whole process of development, this is particularly useful in the monitoring, and evaluation stages of the project.

There are tools used for consultation, which include workshops, meetings, phones, radios, newspapers, site visits, power point presentations, emails and word of mouth. These tools have some advantages and disadvantages depending upon the features of the community. For instance, the tools used in a community with more educated people are likely not to work in a community with a high illiteracy rate. It is important that when choosing consultation tools for a particular community, that its capacity and cultural diversity are considered to make the consultation process more effective. The rate of consultation success is directly associated with the quality of the tools used. This is important, as it contributes to relationship factors that enhance perception and individual behaviour when participating in public decision-making. This can be achieved through open public meetings.

According to previous research studies<sup>53</sup>, it can be argued that meetings have proven to be a useful tool in participation, through interaction and creating awareness. It can be argued that meetings have a huge impact on participation, when they are interactive. If the meetings have a specific agenda, participants are able to submit their ideas before the meeting and they are free to interact with the chairperson. The meetings play a vital role in participation levels of the individuals. In addition, the objectives of the meetings should be inclusive, precise and clear.

Community meetings provide an opportunity to consult a large or small number of people via oral feedback or to influence an agenda and to ask questions<sup>47</sup>. This creates an opportunity to explain processes, give information, gather feedback and develop networks.

## **10.3 Increased women's involvement in all spheres of decision making**

In Nigeria, like most African countries, women's participation is scarce. The fact that they make up a significant number of the Nigerian population<sup>41</sup>, implies that a large part of the community is likely not to be participating in project development. Women are known to play vital roles as mothers, producers, managers, and community developers/organisers. Their social and economic development contribution is estimated to be double the contribution from men because of their dual roles in both the productive and reproductive spheres. Yet their platform for participation in decision making in formal and informal structures and processes is insignificant<sup>6</sup>.



The lack of female representation in water development in Nigeria means that the community is under-represented and decisions are likely not to protect their interests. It also means that they will not be participating as they have no idea of what is going on due to their absence from the process. This will impact participation, because women constitute a larger part of the community (including the girl child) and they also play a major role in influencing their family stakeholders, particularly the teenage children, who are also vital to participation. Women are also good planners, property and financial managers, due to experience acquired from managing family resources and the family as well over the years. Female participation should be increased in the Nigerian water sector. This would not only improve the number of people participating, it would also allow them the opportunity to contribute their numerous abilities and skills in a subject that affects them the most. For instance, they could play a major role in reducing illegal water connections, vandalism, pollution and protection because they are always within the community when the men are away. In addition, their decisions and ideas will be inspired by their first-hand experience as water providers for their homes.

#### **10. 4 Improving Capacity Gaps through Education**

Overcoming lack of infrastructure needs effective, progressive infrastructural development with a shift in paradigm which delegates more responsibility for<sup>50</sup> implementation and management to the hands of community members. This shift in paradigm brings a sense of ownership, thereby promoting participation and sustainability of water projects.

Thus, community capacity and development are key elements for effective participation. Education is said to be a tool that can be used to break the shackles of poverty, leading to transformation, development and progress<sup>35</sup>. Community stakeholders and the government should work together to enhance the education system in the community. This includes education for adults who lack education. Literacy and educational exposure, when integrated with other critical parts of participation typologies have strong citizen influence and control. The ability to manage information, knowledge, local context and education has proven to play an important role in creating, changing and the very nature of participation. Education is a key element to empowerment, whether based on information or technical empowerment.

Despite the significant input of investment into Nigerian water issues, the reality is that most communities have a little or no basic education to sustain community empowerment or involvement for the purpose of project operations and maintenance. In some cases, community stakeholders consider themselves to have no influencing power, while the organising institution considers itself to be powerful and untouchable. This is a typical scenario between Nigerian community stakeholders and water organisations. This is because<sup>49</sup>, despite having some level of power, they are made to believe that they could not engage due to lack of power and necessary engaging skills.

The lack of information on WASH issues and capacity building has limited the successful implementation of the empowerment process in Nigerian communities; particularly in the rural areas of the country. Communities with high levels of illiteracy will experience low levels of decision making power. For instance, the issue of technology, which involves education/training, cannot be put into the hands of the community, no matter how much they demand it. Again, this might sabotage participation levels, particularly where there is a history of dishonesty and distrust and decision makers protecting their own interests and embezzling funds.

Therefore, adopting basic education for all within a community is a step in the right direction. It is a step that will promote a sense of ownership<sup>28</sup> and promote sustainability of development projects. The community will therefore be a part of all the development project steps, which include financial contributions, planning, execution, operation and maintenance and which ensure the sustainability of development projects, like water supply projects<sup>19</sup>.<sup>14</sup> Education can empower those who are marginalised or excluded from participating in discussions and decision-making.

The blend of attributes, such as citizen control, can be improved through information management, provision of technical knowhow and financial provision<sup>5</sup> and is required to provide a robust framework for addressing community water project empowerment challenges in Nigeria. However, the issue of migration from the rural areas to the urban areas in search of white-collar jobs has left most communities with few educated people who are able to make informed decisions and can participate. This can be overcome if the majority of the community is exposed to basic education to help improve their confidence and knowledge, which is important for empowerment and involvement.

## **10.5 Well-structured, Organised and Inclusive Feedback Mechanisms**

It is also crucial that feedback mechanisms are created throughout the project development stages and the mechanisms are readily accessible to all the community stakeholders. A feedback process is used to monitor the concerns and opinions of the community<sup>27</sup> as the project progresses.

The issue of fairness has appeared in most research as being the reason for poor participation levels. It is important that fairness is applied to both the decision making process and the outcome. However, agreement about fairness and sound decision-making varies from one individual to another, or within a group and this can be a difficult issue. Although decisions should be technical and scientifically sound, care must be taken not to be perceived as being unfair to any party, because this could affect their level of involvement if they feel left out from the decision making process.<sup>8</sup>

In addition, a clear and well-respected community representative is key to ensuring an effective feedback mechanism. It is not sustainable to receive feedback from all the community stakeholders, hence the importance of setting up a community representative who is given the authority to speak on behalf of the community. The issue of under-representation can be overcome by evaluation of the community representatives from time to time to allow room for removal if any of them is found not to be representing the interest of their subjects.

In order to achieve fairness in the feedback mechanism, all stakeholders of the community should be involved in the selection and evaluation process, perhaps through a free and fair voting process. It is possible though, that the selection process could be sabotaged due to the high corruption levels within the country.

Another important impact of an effective feedback mechanism is its ability to improve community partnership and collaboration in water development, as evidence suggests that people are most committed to implementing projects that they have helped to plan<sup>56</sup>. Listening to and addressing people's concerns and interests have proven to enhance motivation for involvement.

## **10.6 Increase in community induced awareness and notification**

In the past, the use of technical solutions (Engineering perspective) to control environmental issues was very common<sup>54</sup>. However, it was recently discovered that the non-technical elements of water resource management are equally important: if not more so. New approaches to water resource management, include integrated water resources management, changing social attitudes, perceptions and behaviours, which cannot be solved by technology alone<sup>54</sup>.

One of these non-technical elements of water resource management is awareness raising, which is very important to achieving quality outcomes in water resource management. For instance, the quality of debate and the pressure to get involved in water management and achieve the desired change will greatly be influenced by the level of awareness and understanding of water issues by the general population<sup>21</sup>.

This is particularly important because it has been proven that there is a correlation between water resource management, public health and socio-economic development<sup>44</sup>. In the last 200 years, the developed countries have picked up on this connection and improved their water access, hence the reduced rate of child mortality and increased life expectancy. They have also tackled other water related problems, by economic improvement and raising water and sanitation provision to a high level<sup>42</sup>.

Unless people become aware and understand the socio-economic importance of the connections between water resource management, public health and socio-economic development and act accordingly, water access issues in Nigeria cannot be addressed effectively. This is key to overcoming lack of participation and increasing interest in good water practices.

In summary, the impact of socio-cultural factors in water resource development and water access is rising with population. This means that there are more people to educate about water resource management and more people behaviours to consider. Hence, there is urgent need for community led awareness and notification to adopt different water resource management approaches at all levels of government, in order to effectively tackle water issues.

## **10.7 Increased stakeholder Partnership**

Higher levels of participation are achieved through community partnership working and campaign or pressure groups. It is at the higher levels of engagement that empowerment occurs and social and structural changes happen to redress the power relations that perpetuate access to sustainable water inequalities.

### **10.7.1 Individual Motivation and Organisation commitment**

Individual motivation is a key element to a successful partnership. It is not enough to have just a single motive<sup>23</sup>. In most cases, multiple motivations are needed to get the community to engage and stay involved over a long period.

## **10.8 Increase in community Fund Mobilisation and management for partnership**

At the moment, across Nigeria, most municipal water supply networks are faced with demand beyond their original design capacity<sup>3</sup>. Analysis of publicly available data reveals that, given the rate of population growth in the last two to three decades, the public water supply networks across the country have to supply at least 3 times more people than were accounted for in the initial design<sup>3</sup>. However, ensuring that adopted technology is appropriate for the level of local capacity remains a challenge. In addition to overused facilities, the costs and technical know-how to address the issue of maintenance are also lacking. This has resulted in abandoned water supply projects and infrastructure.

Despite the increase in the amount of funds allocated by the federal government for water and sanitation programmes in the country over the last couple of years, the gap between the number of people with access to clean water and those without it is getting wider; and very fast<sup>20,63</sup>. Participation in water projects also involves the administration of managerial tasks related to operation and maintenance of development projects. However, these development steps could be financially and technically demanding, which might be impossible or difficult to sustain by the community; particularly the increasing rate of pollution of water sources. Hence, the need for the community to investigate other ways of raising maintenance funds to ensure sustainability. There are various tools that can be used for this purpose, including but not limited to: taxing companies within the community, advertisement tax, housing tax and water payments. It is important to point out that the socio-economic background of one community may differ from that of another community, hence a specific tool to suit the community features is required for fund raising. In addition, the fund raising process should be clear and transparent.

## **11 CONCLUSIONS**

There is an urgent need to shift from the top-down approach of sustainability to bottom-up approaches, where decision rights and responsibility are given to the end users of the water project. The engagement process described here has been recognised for its success in enhancing participation. All aspects of the engagement process do enhance participation. However, involvement, partnership and empowerment; classified as the most effective for impact on public participation, are the least well practised in Nigeria.

There is no doubt that stakeholder participation in water issues is currently being practised in some Nigerian communities, but these cannot be said to be the majority of communities in the country. The findings from this paper suggest that most Nigerian communities are not adequately equipped to maximise the benefits of the engagement process, hence the reason why it has not been successful in enhancing participation in many cases. The issues identified in this paper are: lack of trust and credibility among community members as well as water organisers, lack of adequate community education, lack of women's involvement, lack of adequate community fund raising techniques and fund management, lack of effective feedback mechanisms, inadequate willingness and readiness to get involved and partner with the water organisers, lack of community induced awareness and notification as well as lack of community knowledge about the best consultation method specific to a given community. Unfortunately, the engagement process can only produce positive results in a community with a conducive environment and certain encouraging conditions.

The findings have also suggested ways to tackle them. Lack of community trust and credibility can be addressed by employing the help of some of the trusted personnel within the community, which include religious

and community leaders, parents and teachers. The importance of reinstating trust, credibility, awareness, consistency and mutual interest cannot be overemphasised, as these are key elements to achieving effective engagement.

In addition, a community with a larger number of educated members is likely to have a more successful engagement process compared with a community with less educated people. Thus, community members need to be given basic education, as far as possible. This will encourage the water organisers to do the right thing for the fear of being challenged. Another important factor in achieving effective engagement is the involvement of women; particularly those who are mostly affected by the water crisis. Their experience from dealing with water issues over the years is likely to put them in a better position to make the right decisions on behalf of the community, as compared to their male counterparts, who are less familiar with the real household issues.

Other ways to create room for effective engagement include setting up a community feedback mechanism, which is well structured, widely represented and effective and that allows all members of the community to make their own decisions and choose their representative. Community induced fund raising and management, which can also be seen as community induced empowerment is particularly important so the community can partner with the organisers in terms of doing certain repairs and maintenance for themselves, rather than relying on the water organisers all the time, which is not always very practical in Nigeria.

## References

1. Andres, L., Deb. S, Gambrill M., Giannone E., Joseph G., Kannath P., Kumar M., Kurian P.K., and Muwonge A. (2016) Sustainability of Demand Responsive Approaches to Rural Water Supply: The Case of Kerala
2. Aigbokhan, B.E. (2000). "Poverty, Growth and income inequality in Nigeria: A case study". Africa Economic Research Consortium Research Paper 102
3. Agunwamba, J.C.(1998).Solid Waste Management in Nigeria: Problems and Issues.
4. Admassu, Mengesha, Abera Kumie, Misganaw Fantahun (2002) Sustainability of Drinking Water Supply Projects in Rural of North Gondar, Ethiopia, *Ethiopian J.Health Dev.* 2003; (3):221-229.
5. Arnstein S.R (1969) A Ladder of Citizen Participation, *J.Amer. Inst. of Planners*, 35 216–224.
6. Aina, I. O (1998) "Women, culture and Society" in Amadu Sesay and and Adetanwa Odebiyi (eds). *Nigerian Women in Society and Development*. Dokun Publishing House, Ibadan
7. Bruvold, W.H. (1988) Public opinion on water reuse options. *J. Water Poll. Control Fed.*, 60(1) 45–49.
8. Bruvold, W.H. (1985) Obtaining public support for reuse water. *AWWA J.*, 77(7) 72–77.
9. Benjamin R. B (2004) *Strong Democracy. Participatory Politics for a New Age*, Twentieth-Anniversary Edition, With a New Preface
10. Briscoe, J. & De Ferranti, D. (1988) *Water for Rural Communities Helping People Help Themselves*
11. Chowdhury, N., Finlay-Notman, C., Hovland, I. (2006) *CSO Capacity for Policy Engagement: Lessons Learned from the CSPP Consultations in Africa, Asia and Latin America Working Paper 272 Results of ODI research presented in preliminary form for discussion and critical comment*
12. CHOGUILL M.G. (1996) *A Ladder of Community Participation for Underdeveloped Countries*
13. du Pisani, P.L. (2005) Case study on direct reclamation of potable water at Windhoek's Goreangab Reclamation Plant. In: *Integrated Concepts in Water Recycling Wollongong, NSW, Australia*.
14. Davis, J. & Liyer, P. (2002) *Taking Sustainable Rural Water Supply Services to Scale: A Discussion Paper*, Bank of Netherlands Water Partnership Energy and Water Department, World Bank, Washington DC.
15. Danladi E. A., Oloke D. and Fullen M. A. (2011) *Urbanization and Water insecurity in Nigeria: A Study of Abuja Metropolis, Nigeria*
16. Federal Republic of Nigeria (2000), *Water Supply and Sanitation Interim Strategies Note* FAO (2007), *Coping with Water Scarcity: Challenges of the Twenty – First century UN – Water*.
17. Gbadegesin, N and Olorundemi, F (2007). *Assessment of Rural Water Supply Management in Selected Rural Areas of Oyo State, Nigeria*, ATPS Working Paper Series No 49, African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS), Nairobi, Kenya.
18. Greenwood, D.J., Whyte, W.F., Harkavy, I., (1993). *Participatory action research as a process and as a goal*. *Human Relations* 46, 175–192
19. Gebrehiwot M. (2006) *An Assessment of Challenges of Sustainable Rural Water Supply: The Case of Ofla Woreda in Tigray Region*. Msc Thesis, Regional and Local Development Study (RLDS). A.A.U. Ethiopia.
20. Gbadegesin, N., Olorunfemi, F. (2007) *Assessment of Rural Water Supply Management in Selected Rural Areas of Oyo State, Nigeria*. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS)
21. Gaventa, J. and Valderrama, C. (1999) 'Participation, Citizenship and Local Governance – Background Paper for Workshop: Strengthening Participation in Local Governance', mimeo, IDS, Brighton, 21–24 June 1998, [www.ids.ac.uk/particip](http://www.ids.ac.uk/particip) (5 March 2002)

22. Gusikit, R.B., and Lar, U.A., (2014) Water Scarcity and The Impending Water-Related Conflicts in Nigeria: A Reappraisal Department of Geology and Mining, University of Jos, Jos-Nigeria. IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT) e-ISSN: 2319-2402, p- ISSN: 2319-2399. Volume 8, Issue 1 Ver. II PP 20-26 www.iosrjournals.org www.iosrjournals.org 20 | Page
23. Hampton, G.R. (2005) Public Involvement in Decision Making, unpublished manuscript.
24. Hall W.L. and Rubin A.R., Reclaimed water: A public perception. In: WEFTEC 2002: 75th Annual Conference and Exposition Chicago, Illinois, USA, 2002.
25. Harvey, A. & Reed, A. (2007) Community-Managed Water Supplies in Africa: Sustainable or Dispensable? Community Development Journal Vol 42 No 3 July 2007 pp. 365–378.
26. Haq M.A., Hassan S.M., Ahmad K. (2014) Community Participation and Sustainability of Water Supply Program in District Faisalabad, Pakistan. Journal of Quality and Technology Management Volume X, Issue II, December, Page 125 – 137
27. Hurlimann, A. and McKay, J. (2004) ‘Attitudes to Reclaimed Water for Domestic Use: Part 2. Trust,’ *Water, Journal of the Australian Water Association* 31(5), 40–45
28. Ikoni, U.D. (2009) The Challenges of Poverty in a Globalised World: Perspective on the Nigerian Situation. Longman publishers
29. Isham J., Narayan D., Pritchett L. (1995) Does Participation Improve Performance? Establishing Causality with Subjective Data. *World Bank Econ Rev* (1995) 9 (2): 175-200. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/9.2.175>
30. Ihugba, B.U. & Osuji O.K (2011). Corporate Citizenship and Stakeholder Engagement: Maintaining and Equitable Power Balance. *EJBO*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 28-38.
31. Muta’aHellandendu, J. (2012) Health Implications of Water Scarcity in Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal* Vol 8 No 18
32. Kasiaka, K. (2004). Participatory Planning and Sustainability of Water TASAF Water Project. UDSM Press, Tanzania.
33. Kivits, R. (2013). Multi-dimensional stakeholder analysis: a methodology applied to Australian capital city airports PhD thesis, Southern Cross University, Lismore, NSW. Copyright RA Kivits 2013
34. Kyle O, Joe L, and Jamie B., (2012) *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. Mar; 9(3): 880–894.
35. Khana S.J, Gerrard L.E. (2006) Stakeholder communications for successful water reuse
36. operations Desalination 187 191–202
37. Kim K, Moody PM. (1992) More resources better health? A cross-national perspective. *Soc Sci Med.* 34(8):837-42.
38. Khwaja A.I (2004) Is increasing community participation always a good thing? DOI: 10.1162/154247604323068113
39. Mayers, J., (2005) Stakeholder power analysis. International institute for Environment and development
40. Makama G.A (2013) Patriarchy and Gender inequality in Nigeria: The way forward
41. Mckeown, T., . Record R. G & Turner R. D. (1975) An interpretation of the decline of mortality in England and Wales during the twentieth century. *Population studies. A Journal of Demography* Volume 29, Pages 391-422
42. Maxwell K. and Oghenerobor B., (2012) International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, Vol. 3, No. 6, December DOI: 10.7763/IJESD.V3.277 511
43. MacDonald A.M & Davies J. (2000) A brief review of groundwater for rural water supply in sub-Saharan Africa. Department of international development
44. Naidoo. K., (2003). Civil society accountability: who guards the guardians? [Online]. Available: <http://www.civicus.org/new/media/NGO%20Accountability.doc/>
45. Nigeria Water and Sanitation Profile REPORT 2010
46. Nwankwoala, H. O. (2011). An integrated approach to sustainable groundwater development and management in Nigeria *Journal of Geology and Mining Research* (3)5: 123 – 130
47. Narayan, D. (1995). The Contribution of People’s Participation. Evidence from 121 Rural Water Supply Projects. Environmentally Sustainable Development Occasional Paper Series No. 1 World Bank, Washington, D.C.
48. Olomolaiye, P & Chiniyo, E. (2010) Construction stakeholder management. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell
49. Obeta M., (2016), Community Participation in the Rural Water Supply Sector of Enugu State, Nigeria Department of Geography, Hydrology and Water Resources Unit, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, *American Journal of Water Resources*, 2016, Vol. 4, No. 3, 58-67
50. Okonta I, and Douglas O., (2004) Where vultures feast: Shell, human rights, and oil in the Niger Delta
51. Ohmer M.L. PhD (2007) Citizen Participation in Neighbourhood Organizations and Its Relationship to Volunteers' Self- and Collective Efficacy and Sense of Community. *Soc Work Res* (2007) 31 (2): 109-120.
52. Pearce, J. (2006) ‘States and Civil Societies in the New Aid Approach’, presentation at (Re) building Developmental States: From Theory to Practice, ODI Meeting Series (Jan-April 2006), available at [www.odi.org.uk/speeches/states\\_06/15thMar/index.html](http://www.odi.org.uk/speeches/states_06/15thMar/index.html).
53. Pahl-Wostl, C., Gupta J., and Petry D. (2008) Governance and the global water system: towards a theoretical exploration. *Global Governance* 14, in press.

54. Rifkin, S. B. (1996) Paradigms lost: towards a new understanding of community participation in health programmes. *Acta Tropica*, 61, 79–92.
55. Rifkin, S. B., Muller, F. and Bichmann, M. (1988) Primary health care: on measuring participation. *Social Science and Medicine*, 26, 931–940.
56. Shannon, M. A. *et al.* *Nature* 452, 301–310 (2008).
57. Schouten, T., & Moriarty, P. (2003). *Community Water, Community Management*, London, UK.
58. Speer P.W, Hughey J. (1995) *Community organizing: an ecological route to empowerment and power*.
59. Slaymaker, T., Christiansen, (2005), *Community-based approaches and service delivery: Issues and options in difficult environments and partnerships with Isabel Hemming Overseas Development Institute*
60. Selendy J.M.H (2011) *Water and Sanitation Related Diseases and the Environment: Challenges, Interventions and Preventive Measures* ISBN: 978-0-470-52785-6
61. UNDESA (2011), *International Decade for Action Water for Life 2005 – 2015*. United Nation Development of Economic and Social Affairs
62. UNICEF (2015) *Progress on Sanitation and Drinking Water: Update and MDG Assessment*