

## Gender and diet management in type 2 diabetes

Dimova, Elena Dimcheva; Swanson, Vivien; Evans, Josie MM

*Published in:*  
Chronic Illness

*DOI:*  
[10.1177/1742395319873375](https://doi.org/10.1177/1742395319873375)

*Publication date:*  
2021

*Document Version*  
Author accepted manuscript

[Link to publication in ResearchOnline](#)

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Dimova, ED, Swanson, V & Evans, JMM 2021, 'Gender and diet management in type 2 diabetes', *Chronic Illness*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 362-376. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742395319873375>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at <https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179> for details of how to contact us.

# Gender and diet management in type 2 diabetes

## Abstract

Introduction: Type 2 diabetes is a chronic health condition that requires ongoing self-management. This often includes changes in diet, which may be open to influences from relatives. Family support in terms of diet may be linked with gender and the assumption that meal preparation is a traditionally female activity. This article looks at the role of gender in diet management in people with type 2 diabetes and their relatives.

Methods: Seventeen semi-structured interviews were conducted with 23 participants (10 people with type 2 diabetes, 13 relatives of people with type 2 diabetes) in Scotland, UK. The aim was to uncover changes people have made to their diet following diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in oneself or a family member. Data were analysed using Framework Approach.

Findings: Female relatives were more likely to manage the patient's diet while male relatives provided support but were less likely to monitor the person's diet. Female patients may prioritise the needs of their family while male patients are more likely to rely on their female relatives in terms of diet management.

Discussion: The study findings have implications for family-based interventions as gender may play a crucial role in the management of type 2 diabetes.

Key words: type 2 diabetes, diet management, family, gender, interviews.

## Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a chronic health condition that requires ongoing self-management in order to minimise negative health consequences such as developing comorbidities<sup>1</sup>. People with type 2 diabetes are advised to monitor their diet in order to maintain certain blood-glucose levels<sup>2</sup>. A "healthy and balanced" diet that is rich in fibre and low in salt and sugar is recommended<sup>2</sup>. Diabetes self-management can be facilitated by relatives and partners who can provide advice and support, and assist with daily activities<sup>3,4</sup>. This is particularly relevant to diet management, which as a shared activity, may be more open to influences from family members than other behaviours<sup>4,5</sup>. However, family support in terms of diet may be linked with gender and the assumption that meal preparation is a traditionally female activity<sup>6,7</sup>. This may be particularly relevant to middle-aged and older women who are less likely to rely on spouses with everyday activities<sup>8</sup>. Previous research in diabetes suggests specific gender differences in relation to diet management. For example, Maclean<sup>9</sup> found that married men with type 1 diabetes were at "an advantage" because in almost all cases of their

32 sample the wives prepared meals, which conformed to the prescribed diet. Women with type 1  
33 diabetes in Maclean's<sup>9</sup> study, however, often had to balance their personal needs with the food  
34 needs of their family. More recent research shows a similar pattern. A systematic review by Li et al.<sup>10</sup>  
35 found that women with type 2 diabetes often prioritise the needs of their family and adopt  
36 multicare-giving responsibilities . They often subjugate their own needs for the needs of their family  
37 members and cook separate meals for themselves<sup>11</sup>. As a result, women show low dependence on  
38 their spouses and do not identify their spouse as a source of support<sup>10-12</sup>. On the other hand, men  
39 with type 2 diabetes are more likely to be dependent on their spouses in relation to diet  
40 management and identify their wife as a main source of support<sup>11,12</sup>. This is particularly problematic  
41 in respect to morbidity and mortality: women with type 2 diabetes are at increased risk of death  
42 from cardiovascular disease and stroke, compared to men<sup>13,14</sup>. In addition, women with caregiving  
43 responsibilities may experience higher levels of perceived burden which can lead to poor physical  
44 and mental health<sup>15</sup>. Traditional gender role orientation in relation to diet management may be  
45 particularly prominent in certain cultures. For example, in a Mexican sample, women were viewed as  
46 "food preparers" so they took the responsibility for managing a patient's diet<sup>16</sup>. Similarly, a  
47 qualitative study conducted in Pakistan showed that men view diet change as a matter for wives  
48 whose job it is to prepare the right food<sup>17</sup>. In an African-American sample, traditional gender roles  
49 extended to daughters, who were the main providers of diabetes-related care, including diet  
50 management<sup>18</sup>.

51 In the UK, there has been a decline in traditional gender role perceptions with 72% of respondents in  
52 2019 disagreeing that women should be viewed as homemakers<sup>19</sup>. However, there is still a gap in  
53 understanding how gender plays a role in diet management following diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in  
54 oneself or a family member. In addition, the majority of previous research has explored this from the  
55 perspective of the person with type 2 diabetes. The experiences of family members and the role  
56 gender plays in providing support for someone with type 2 diabetes are seldom the focus of  
57 research. A better understanding of traditional gender roles in diet management can provide insight  
58 into ways to improve self-care in patients and provide support for relatives.

59 The objective of this article is to describe the role of gender in diet management in response to a  
60 recent diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. The study builds on previous research by presenting the views of  
61 both patients with type 2 diabetes and relatives of such patients.

## 62 **Methods**

63 Ethical approval for this study was granted by the University of Stirling, School of Health Sciences  
64 ethics committee (SREC 15/16, Paper No. 37, version 1).

65 This was a qualitative study, conducted in Scotland (UK), which explored the way people respond to  
66 and cope with diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in oneself or in a family member. The aim was to  
67 interview people who had recently been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and at least one of their  
68 non-diabetic family members in order to uncover people's shared experiences of diabetes. A recent  
69 diagnosis in oneself or a family member decreased the risk of recall bias and optimised the chance of  
70 participants recollecting specific changes that occurred as a result of the diagnosis. This article  
71 presents the findings related to diet management.

## 72 **Recruitment**

73 Recruitment was carried out through community outreach in Forth Valley, Scotland. Posters and  
74 flyers, explaining the study, were distributed at 109 community locations, such as community  
75 centres, libraries, charity shops, bowling clubs, golf clubs, local post office branches and the  
76 University of Stirling. In addition, Diabetes UK advertised the study on their website, newsletter and  
77 social media pages. The study was also advertised by word of mouth.

78 People who expressed interest were screened for eligibility on the basis of the following criteria: 1)  
79 over the age of 18 years; 2) able to speak and write in English; 3) a recent diagnosis of type 2  
80 diabetes in oneself or a family member. As type 2 diabetes is a chronic condition, people's  
81 perception of what constitutes a recent diagnosis may differ so a specific timeframe was not used. If  
82 a participant was eligible to take part, they were asked to nominate one non-diabetic family member  
83 who might be willing to take part in the study (or nominate the relative with diabetes if it was the  
84 family member who got in touch). The participant was then asked to provide their family member  
85 with the study flyer and the researcher's contact details.

## 86 **Data collection**

87 Semi-structured face to face or phone interviews were conducted with people with type 2 diabetes  
88 and relatives of people with type 2 diabetes. First, participants completed a brief questionnaire that  
89 collected information about duration of type 2 diabetes, route to diagnosis, relationship with the  
90 person with type 2 diabetes (for relatives), gender, age, highest education, employment status,  
91 relationship status and postcode to enable calculation of the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation  
92 (SIMD) or full address if the participant wanted to receive information about study findings. The  
93 SIMD is used to identify areas of multiple deprivation in Scotland by ranking small areas from most  
94 deprived (ranked 1) to least deprived (ranked 10)<sup>13</sup>. The interview aimed to uncover changes in  
95 participants' lives since the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in themselves or in a family member. The  
96 topic areas included psychological changes in perceptions of diabetes severity and risk of  
97 complications (or developing type 2 diabetes in relatives), behaviour changes in relation to physical

98 activity, diet and responsibilities, communication about type 2 diabetes and suggestions for diabetes  
99 service improvements and intervention design. Example questions in relation to diet included:  
100 *“What changes in relation to diet have you made since the diagnosis?”*, *“What barriers have you*  
101 *experienced?”*, *“Tell me more about your family and what they do in relation to your diet?”*. The  
102 interviews were semi-structured so questions varied depending on the participant’s response.  
103 Probes included: *“How easy was it to change your diet?”*, *“Do you and your partner do anything*  
104 *differently together?”*, *“Have your responsibilities in the house changed?”*.

105 An important consideration for the study was whether to interview members of the same family  
106 together or separately. Joint interviews are useful when the interviews seek to explore the way  
107 people collectively cope with illness. Individual interviews are useful when each individual’s  
108 perspective is sought. Although the initial aim was to interview people together, not all participants  
109 could agree on a suitable time, so some members of the same family were interviewed separately. In  
110 some cases, the interviews also included only one member of a family as other family members did  
111 not agree to take part. The decision not to exclude people whose family members were unable to  
112 take part was influenced by three reasons 1) the study did not aim to explore discrepancies in the  
113 views of men and women from the same family; 2) to reduce the risk of coercion from family  
114 members who want to take part and 3) practical considerations, such as time and money, as this  
115 study was part of a PhD project. Although a family interview would shed light into the way people  
116 interact when talking about diet management, individual perspectives are important as in some  
117 cases people may be more open if family members are not present.

118 The interviews were conducted by a female researcher with training and experience in collecting  
119 qualitative data (EDD). Data collection continued until data saturation was reached. We  
120 conceptualised data saturation in terms of the study target group and quality and quantity of  
121 information. The study participants represented a group with specific characteristics (i.e. recent  
122 diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in oneself or a family member, living in the UK). Participants openly  
123 talked about their experience, which enabled the researcher to gain sufficient information and  
124 notice similarities (or differences) in experiences throughout the data collection process. In order to  
125 avoid data redundancy, saturation was deemed to have been achieved when no new data emerged.

126

## 127 **Data analysis**

128 The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim and checked against the recordings for  
129 accuracy. All information was anonymised and participants were given study numbers. The file  
130 linking the study numbers with participants’ details was kept separately from the recordings and

131 transcripts. Data were analysed using Framework Approach, which is based on the assumption that  
132 the researcher stays close to the original data in order to “*capture, portray and explain the social*  
133 *worlds of the people under study*” (p.279)<sup>14</sup>. This approach was chosen because it is better adapted  
134 to research that has specific questions and a priori issues that need to be explored and as it helps to  
135 facilitate case analysis. In addition, it provides systematic and clear stages to the analytic process,  
136 thus allowing people to see the stages, by which the results are obtained <sup>15</sup>. This transparency  
137 ensures trustworthiness of data, especially in terms of credibility and confirmability. In addition,  
138 having a clear process increases study transferability and dependability making it easier for others to  
139 explore the consistency of findings and compare them to other contexts and conditions. Analysis  
140 followed the data analysis stages, suggested by Spencer et al.<sup>14</sup>, which include familiarisation,  
141 constructing an initial framework, indexing and sorting, reviewing data extracts, data summaries,  
142 developing categories, mapping linkages, and providing explanations and interpretations. The  
143 interview questions were initially used to guide data analysis. During the developing categories  
144 stage, while detecting elements initial themes had in common, it became apparent that participants’  
145 responses in relation to diet management appeared to differ between men and women. This  
146 enabled the identification of the themes presented in this article. Analysis was conducted by the  
147 primary author. Another author with extensive experience in qualitative research (VS) reviewed the  
148 data analysis stages to ensure that the final themes emerged from the data.

149

## 150 **Findings**

151 Forty two people showed interest in the study (22 people with type 2 diabetes, 20 relatives).  
152 Twenty three participants took part in 17 semi-structured interviews. Sample characteristics are  
153 presented in Table 1.

154

155 *Insert Table 1 about here*

156

157 Thirteen of the interviews were individual and four included the person with type 2 diabetes and  
158 their relative(s). Ten were people with type 2 diabetes and 13 were relatives of people with type 2  
159 diabetes. Relationships included two families (father, mother, two daughters; father, mother,  
160 daughter); a mother-daughter dyad; and three couples. The remainder were either a person with  
161 type 2 diabetes or a relative whose family members were unable to take part. Details about the  
162 relationships between participants and how they were interviewed are presented in Table 2.

163 Interviews were conducted between November 2015 and March 2016 and took place in participants'  
164 homes (N=6), private rooms at University of Stirling (N=6), a local hotel (N=1), a local library (N=1)  
165 and a private office at a participant's workplace (N=1), and over the phone with the researcher in a  
166 private room (N=2). Interviews lasted between 25 and 85 minutes. Participants were given £10 as  
167 reimbursement for participation.

168

169 *Insert Table 2 about here*

170

171 Data analysis yielded two themes addressed by both people with type 2 diabetes and their relatives:  
172 *Women – taking responsibility for diet management, and Men – "going along" with the new diet.*

### 173 **Women – taking responsibility for diet management**

174 Virtually all relatives of people with type 2 diabetes talked about supporting diabetes self-  
175 management. However, compared to men, women more often talked about a desire to manage the  
176 person's condition, take an active role in trying to prescribe a specific diet regimen and ensure the  
177 person with type 2 diabetes adheres to it.

178 *"The female always has a bit of a problem because they usually stereotypically are the ones*  
179 *responsible for shopping and cooking food, so you've got to manage this condition for them, at*  
180 *least for one meal a day."* R5, wife of a man with type 2 diabetes

181 Female relatives of people with type 2 diabetes talked about searching for information on dietary  
182 management by buying and borrowing books, and searching on the internet. In line with such  
183 information, they made changes to the food they cooked:

184 *"Just trying to research food for dad, trying to make him better meals. Make him different*  
185 *sandwiches all the time at work."* R4, daughter, lives with father with type 2 diabetes

186 This was not necessarily a change in roles as in both cases the women were responsible for meal  
187 preparation before the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. However, they perceived it to be their role to  
188 change the meals to align with diabetes-related recommendations. This perceived need to  
189 manage the person's diet often led to additional responsibilities and affected other aspects of the  
190 women's lives:

191 *"I make sure what he [father] has every day: "you are not allowed to eat that anymore" so it's*  
192 *quite hard to have to balance my university with all this watching his diet as well as mine, and*

193 *they're just jumbled up with each other.*" R6, daughter, lives apart from father with type 2  
194 diabetes

195 In other cases, it presented a difficulty in managing the diet of other family members:

196 *"Yeah, I try and buy less sweet things but for me, the dilemma is very difficult, because our*  
197 *daughter is underweight and doesn't eat very much at all and she is much more likely to eat*  
198 *biscuits and cakes and sweets than anything else, so it's trying to balance her need to have a*  
199 *high calorie [diet]."* R5, wife of a man with type 2 diabetes

200 The perceived need to monitor the person's behaviour was described as "policing" where women  
201 felt they need to ensure the patients comply with the specific diabetes-related diet regimen:

202 *"... so for me one of the changes is knowing what's supportive and what's not, so the*  
203 *challenge existing is trying to police and say "you can't do this and you can't do that, you*  
204 *know, do you really need to eat that or should you be eating that, you know" because it's not*  
205 *gonna help, you know, 'cause you can just eat it when I'm not there, if you wanted to, but I*  
206 *find it hard to resist the urge to interfere."* R5, wife of a man with type 2 diabetes

207 *"...I think he eats sweet packets so that gives me a reason to shout at him for it."* R6, daughter,  
208 lives apart from father with type 2 diabetes

209 The second quote comes from the daughter of a person with type 2 diabetes and suggests a form of  
210 role reversal where children tell parents what to do. Although it is perceived as expected for children  
211 to look after parents at a certain stage of life, type 2 diabetes appeared to be a trigger for this role  
212 reversal:

213 *"I would say usually, growing up your dad looks after you, but I'm suddenly thinking I need to*  
214 *look more after my dad as well."* R2, daughter, lives apart from father with type 2 diabetes

215 One woman with type 2 diabetes talked about the diagnosis as the moment when children may  
216 realise they need to look after their parents:

217 *"...I suppose because I had the diagnosis and because of the potential for strokes and things*  
218 *like that, you can tell for the very first time they are thinking of me as someone who can die."*  
219 P6, a woman with type 2 diabetes, lives alone

220 In some families, the roles before diagnosis did not align with traditional roles and assumptions  
221 where the wife does most of the cooking. However, diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in the husband  
222 appeared to affect this and change relationship balance:



223 *"I think probably the balance in our relationship has changed. I would probably see me having*  
224 *more of a caring role than I had before. And I think like [husband]. said, [husband] used to do*  
225 *all the cooking and the balance in that has changed."* R7, wife of a man with type 2 diabetes

226 *"She [wife] likes caring for people, you know, she likes caring for me so in fact one thing, here*  
227 *you go, that's one thing that's changed, she does a lot more cooking than she used to (...) she is*  
228 *not a great cook but she is trying, she is doing a lot more of it to try and make things easier for*  
229 *me"* P9, a man with type 2 diabetes, lives with family

230 Although in these cases, the men may have experienced diabetes-related symptoms that  
231 interfered with their ability to continue cooking, this was not reported by women with type 2  
232 diabetes. Women with type 2 diabetes continued to perceive that it was their responsibility to  
233 prepare meals, which in some cases added additional diet-related responsibilities, such as  
234 cooking two meals:

235 *"I'll sometimes cook whatever they [family members] want and I'll have something separate or*  
236 *I'll do myself something separate."* P8, a woman with type 2 diabetes, lives with family

237

238 Women did not always live with the person with type 2 diabetes. In one case (see R6 earlier), the  
239 daughter phoned her father every day to monitor what he has eaten that day. In other cases, the  
240 likelihood of women taking an active role in the management of the person's diet appeared to be  
241 influenced by geographical closeness. Although not directly explored, it appeared that these women  
242 managed the patient's diet only on the occasions when they visited them:

243 *"I am much less willing to offer him cakes or biscuits or anything like that... erm...I am much*  
244 *more focused on giving him salads."* R1, mother, lives separate from son with type 2 diabetes

245

#### 246 **Men – "going along" with the new diet**

247 Compared to the women in this sample, men provided support for their relatives with type 2  
248 diabetes but were less likely to take responsibility for managing their relatives' diet . Men were  
249 understanding of the fact that their relative with type 2 diabetes needs to adopt diet changes and  
250 they expressed willingness to support them by complying with the new diet regimen. Men also  
251 provided advice and encouragement to their relative with type 2 diabetes. However, they made a  
252 clear distinction between themselves and their relatives by highlighting that the responsibility for  
253 diet management falls on the person with type 2 diabetes:

254 *"I mean I understand that, you know, what [wife]'s got, you know, I am quite happy to go*  
255 *along with it and if I need to pig out or something, I'm probably gonna do it."* R12, husband of  
256 a woman with type 2 diabetes

257 *"So I do try and get him to go out, like I always invite him for runs and stuff like that (...) He is*  
258 *very aware that it's his diagnosis and it's up to him to manage it himself"* R11, son, lives  
259 together with father with type 2 diabetes

260 Sometimes, men believed that by complying with the new diet regimen, they have started eating  
261 more healthily:

262 *"Yeah, as I say, she prepares the meals, so she prepares meals that are good so I eat more*  
263 *healthy because of what she is cooking."* R8, husband of a woman with type 2 diabetes

264 However, this participant's perception differed from his wife's opinion:

265 *"I make him be healthy but it's rubbish...he would just eat anything."* P3, female with type 2  
266 diabetes talking about her husband

267 This illustrates potential differences in the way men and women perceive making diet changes  
268 and providing support following diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. It further illustrates that women  
269 may take responsibility for managing the diet of the whole family by trying to "make" people eat  
270 healthy food. These participants were interviewed separately so the difference in perception  
271 could not be explored in more depth.

272 Men with type 2 diabetes also appeared to rely on their female relatives for diet management:

273 *"She is telling me what to eat, I eat it."* P4, male with type 2 diabetes, lives with wife

274 This man also appeared to avoid taking responsibility for his diabetes management and relying  
275 on his wife and other people to ensure he complies with the diet regimen:

276 *"If I do something that's gonna make it [type 2 diabetes] worse, I'd hope somebody either the*  
277 *doctor or a nurse or [wife] would point out that I was doing it."* P4, male with type 2 diabetes,  
278 lives with wife

279 The potential difference in perceived responsibility for and approach to diet management  
280 between men and women is illustrated below in a quote from a man who considered a  
281 hypothetical situation where his wife, instead of him, had type 2 diabetes:

282 *"I would've sort of wanted to be more supportive but I wouldn't have got five textbooks and all*  
283 *that kind of stuff."* P2, male with type 2 diabetes, lives with family

284 There was only one man with type 2 diabetes in the current study who said his wife did not  
285 change her diet to support him in his diet management:

286 *“I think initially I probably drove her quite mad with my weighing portions and so on, I think*  
287 *because she wasn’t doing it. I think she found that a bit irritating but beyond that she was*  
288 *quite accommodating”*. P10, male with type 2 diabetes, lives with wife

## 289 **Discussion**

290 The findings in this article illuminate the role of gender in diet management for people with type 2  
291 diabetes and their families. The study found that female relatives are more likely to take  
292 responsibility for ensuring the person with type 2 diabetes conforms to the new diet. Similarly,  
293 women with type 2 diabetes believed it is their responsibility to change their own diet, which  
294 sometimes resulted in adopting additional responsibilities. Men, whether patients or relatives, were  
295 more likely to comply with diet changes, initiated by women, rather than actively manage diet.

### 296 **Women – taking responsibility for diet management**

297 In this study, women talked about their desire to take an active role in diet management in response  
298 to type 2 diabetes in their relative. This is consistent with previous findings that women are more  
299 likely, compared to men, to assume responsibility for the management of their partner’s diabetes<sup>23</sup>.

300 The behaviour exhibited by female relatives in the current sample can be described by using the  
301 dyadic perspective of coping<sup>24,25</sup>, according to which patient and partner interact with each other to  
302 mutually influence the adjustment process. Although the model of dyadic coping was developed in  
303 partners/spouses, it could apply to other family dyads, such as parent-offspring dyads. Women  
304 appear to engage in delegated dyadic coping, where they take over certain responsibilities to  
305 alleviate the burden from the person with type 2 diabetes<sup>25</sup>. Women were more likely to monitor  
306 other people’s diet and engage in “policing”, which in some cases resulted in a shift in relationship  
307 balance (women adopting new roles) and role reversal (children telling parents what to do). Similar  
308 patterns of behaviour in terms of family roles following diagnosis of diabetes have been observed  
309 before<sup>16,18</sup>. Samuel-Hodge et al.<sup>18</sup> explored diabetes management in African-Americans and found  
310 that family members often engage in food policing. A potential explanation for women’s increased  
311 likelihood to adopt a caregiving role is the suggestion that women internalise and take the caregiving  
312 role more seriously<sup>26</sup>. This could be rooted in historical and social practices. Bourdieu<sup>27</sup> argues that  
313 traditional gender roles have been maintained through social construction and gender socialisation,  
314 so women are considered to be inherently more likely to be caregivers. This is further confirmed by  
315 recent findings that women may be viewed by their husbands as “food preparers”<sup>16,17</sup>. Bourdieu’s<sup>27</sup>

316 suggestion also supports the finding that women with type 2 diabetes in the current study prioritised  
317 the needs of their family thus adopting additional responsibilities (for example by cooking two  
318 separate meals). Often, in families where men did the cooking, after their type 2 diabetes diagnosis  
319 women's role in the kitchen appeared to increase. However, when women were the ones diagnosed  
320 with type 2 diabetes, they continued to cook without men taking additional responsibilities in  
321 relation to food preparation.

322 Although not directly explored in this study, the likelihood of relatives to adopt a caring role may be  
323 influenced by geographical distance. According to Carmichael and Charles<sup>28</sup> geographical distance is  
324 a type of a "non-economic" factor that influences people's decision to adopt a caring role. Some of  
325 the participants in the current study admitted that they thought more often about their relative with  
326 type 2 diabetes but having work and family obligations and living far away from their relative,  
327 interfered with their ability to provide support. However, female relatives talked about changing the  
328 meals they prepare when their relative with type 2 diabetes visits them.

### 329 **Men – "going along" with the new diet**

330 Men, in the current sample, often talking about relying on their relatives to make diet changes and  
331 "going along" with these changes was perceived as supportive behaviour. This can be explained in  
332 terms of supportive dyadic coping where men provided support, advice and encouragement<sup>25</sup>. This  
333 is consistent with previous research that men with type 2 diabetes are more likely to depend on  
334 their spouses<sup>11,12</sup>. Del Rio-Lozano et al.<sup>26</sup> suggests that men have a more flexible approach to care  
335 and do not identify with the caregiving role. This may put men at a position of advantage because  
336 their wives prepare meals that conform to the prescribed diet<sup>9</sup>. Although the sample of male  
337 relatives in the current study was small, the results showed that men provided support and advice to  
338 their relatives with type 2 diabetes but were less likely to feel responsible for monitoring the  
339 patient's diet. We were not able to explore differences in support provision between husbands and  
340 sons but previous research suggests that there is a difference between sons' and husbands'  
341 perception of caregiving<sup>29</sup>. Sons perceive a filial obligation to provide care for their mothers while  
342 husbands see caregiving as an extension of their marital role<sup>29</sup>.

343

### 344 **Implications**

345 The current study supports previous research suggesting that women are more likely than men to  
346 provide hands-on care with instrumental activities of daily living, such as shopping and preparing  
347 meals<sup>30</sup>. This has implications for family-focused care as women who adopt a care-giving role  
348 experience more chronic health disorders, such as stress, anxiety and depression<sup>10, 12, 15</sup>. Also, when

349 women try to manage the other person's condition, they may undermine their confidence to control  
350 their own condition<sup>31</sup>. Family interventions have the potential to reduce caregiving burden and  
351 depression<sup>31</sup>. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Armour et al.<sup>32</sup> show that interventions  
352 which involve family members can lead to improved diabetes knowledge and better controlled  
353 diabetes, and reduction in family conflicts. Future interventions can utilise established behaviour  
354 change techniques<sup>33</sup> to provide information about diabetes, encourage communication within the  
355 family and set clear goals in terms of diet management for the whole family. In addition,  
356 interventions could capitalise on dyadic coping theory<sup>25</sup> to account for different coping styles and  
357 optimise family cooperation. This may be particularly important as the current study shows women  
358 and men may engage in different types of dyadic coping. Supportive dyadic coping (often adopted by  
359 men) is a stronger predictor of relationship satisfaction than delegated coping (often adopted by  
360 women)<sup>34</sup>. Family interventions can help families discuss roles in relation to diet management and  
361 re-negotiate these roles by taking into account potential gender differences. However, interventions  
362 need to be sensitive to cultural values when addressing gender roles and chronic illness. For  
363 example, Latinos are more traditionally gender role oriented than other cultures and women are  
364 encouraged to provide emotional support for their partners<sup>34</sup>. African American families may not  
365 talk about diabetes as they do not think it should be discussed with family members<sup>35</sup>. This could  
366 lead to the adoption of traditional gender roles where people with type 2 diabetes and their  
367 relatives do not establish what their new role in the family is. For example, women with diabetes  
368 adopt a multi-caregiving role, assuming family members do not understand their needs and their  
369 husbands would not be supportive of diet change<sup>36,37</sup>. However, this may not be the case as  
370 husbands are often willing to modify their behaviour to support the wife's dietary needs<sup>37</sup>. Having  
371 culture-sensitive interventions is particularly important in places where ethnic minority groups live.  
372 For example, in Scotland the percentage of ethnic minority groups varies from 6% to 12% in different  
373 council areas<sup>38</sup> so a population-wide approach to diabetes management may not be suitable for  
374 these groups.

375 The study also has implications for direct provision of diabetes-related care. It shows that the  
376 experiences of diet management in response to type 2 diabetes are not limited to the patient, so  
377 patients can be encouraged to bring relatives to their diabetes appointments. Currently, in the UK  
378 explanations about what to expect at diabetes appointments do not specify whether the patient  
379 could bring a relative<sup>39,40</sup>. Attending a diabetes appointment together may provide a platform for  
380 family discussion about the best way to manage dietary needs.

381

## 382 **Study limitations**

383 The current study has several limitations that should be considered. Sampling bias cannot be  
384 excluded as participants who took part in the interviews contacted the researcher so it is not clear  
385 whether people who volunteer to take part, have different characteristics from people who are  
386 randomly chosen. In addition, all participants were white and living in the UK so the findings are not  
387 transferable to other countries. A recent study in 5 European countries shows that gender  
388 differences in relation to diet management may be particularly evident in Spain and Greece<sup>41</sup>. The  
389 authors suggest that in countries with particularly strong family structures, women take  
390 responsibility for diet management. The role of culture in relation to gender roles should be further  
391 investigated in future studies. Another limitation is the fact that not all interviews included a person  
392 with type 2 diabetes and a relative from the same family and that the form of the interviews was not  
393 consistent (individual vs family interview). More work is needed to uncover different perceptions of  
394 roles in relation to diet and type 2 diabetes among family members. Finally, the number of male  
395 relatives was low and this should be taken into consideration when interpreting the study findings.

## 396 **Conclusion**

397 The current study suggests that women (whether with type 2 diabetes or relatives) may be more  
398 likely to prioritise the needs of their family and take an active role in relation to diet management.  
399 This is consistent with previous findings on gender and diet management in diabetes. The study  
400 builds on previous work by showing that traditional gender roles, where women are responsible for  
401 food preparation, may be still prevalent in the UK. Family-based interventions should focus on the  
402 role of gender when adapting to chronic illness. While the results in this paper are drawn from  
403 secondary analysis, they provide meaningful contribution to existing literature on gender and diet  
404 management in relation to type 2 diabetes.

## 405 **References**

406 <sup>1</sup>Wermeling, PR., Gorter, KJ., van Stel, HF. & Rutten, GE. (2012). Both cardiovascular and non-  
407 cardiovascular comorbidity are related to health status in well-controlled type 2 diabetes patients: A  
408 cross-sectional analysis. *Cardiovascular Diabetology*, 11.

409

410 <sup>2</sup>Diabetes UK (2019). Eating well with diabetes. Available from [https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-diabetes/enjoy-food/eating-with-diabetes)  
411 [to-diabetes/enjoy-food/eating-with-diabetes](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-diabetes/enjoy-food/eating-with-diabetes) Accessed 23.07.2019

412

413 <sup>3</sup>Franks, MM., Sahin, ZS., Seidel, AJ., Shields, CG, Oates, SK. & Boushey, CJ. (2012). Table for Two:

414 Diabetes Distress and Diet-Related Interactions of Married Patients With Diabetes and Their  
415 Spouses. *Families, Systems & Health*, 30 (2), 154-165.  
416

417 <sup>4</sup> Wen, L.K., Shepherd, MD. & Parchman, ML. (2004). Family Support, Diet, and Exercise Among Older  
418 Mexican Americans With Type 2 Diabetes. *The Diabetes Educator*, 30 (6), 980-993.  
419

420 <sup>5</sup> Gallant, M. (2003). The Influence of Social Support on Chronic Illness Self-Management: A Review  
421 and Directions for Research. *Health Education & Behavior*, 30 (2), 170-195.  
422

423 <sup>6</sup> Revenson, T., Abraído-Lanza, Ana., Majerovitz, D. & Jordan, C. (2005). Couples Coping With Chronic  
424 Illness: What's Gender Got to Do With It? In T. Revenson, K. Kayser, G. Bodenmann (Eds)  
425 *Couples coping with stress: Emerging perspectives on dyadic coping* (pp. 137-156). Washington, DC,  
426 US: American Psychological Association.  
427

428 <sup>7</sup> Bianchi, S. M., Sayer, L. C., Milkie, M. A. & Robinson, J.P. (2012). Housework: Who Did, Does or Will  
429 Do It, and How Much Does It Matter? *Social Forces*, 91, 55–63.  
430

431 <sup>8</sup> Spitze, G. & Ward, R. (2000). Gender, Marriage, and Expectations for Personal Care. *Research on*  
432 *aging*, 22, 451-469.  
433

434 <sup>9</sup> Maclean, H. (1991). Patterns of diet related self-care in diabetes. *Social Sciences and Medicine*, 32  
435 (6), 689-696.  
436

437 <sup>10</sup> Li, J., Drury, V. & Taylor, B. (2014). Systematic review of the experience of older women living and  
438 coping with type 2 diabetes. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 20, 126–134.  
439

440 <sup>11</sup> Mathew, R., Gucciardi, E., Del Melo, M. & Barata, P. (2012). Self-management experiences among  
441 men and women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a qualitative analysis. *BMC Family Practice*, 13.  
442

443 <sup>12</sup> Hara, Y., Hisatomi, M., Ito, H., Nakao, M., Tsuboi, K. & Ishihara, Y. (2014). Effects of gender, age,  
444 family support, and treatment on perceived stress and coping of patients with type 2 diabetes  
445 mellitus. *BioPsychoSocial Medicine*, 8 (16).  
446

447 <sup>13</sup>Wexler, DJ., Grant, RW., Meigs, JB., Nathan, DM. & Cagliero, E. (2005). Sex disparities in treatment  
448 of cardiac risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 28, 514-520.  
449

450 <sup>14</sup>Tuomilehto, J., Rastenyte, D., Jousilahti, P., Sarti, C & Vartiainen. (1996). Diabetes mellitus as a risk  
451 factor for death from stroke. Prospective study of the middle-ages Finnish population. *Stroke*, 27,  
452 210-215.  
453

454 <sup>15</sup>Bédard, M., Kuzik, R., Chambers, L., Molloy, DW., Dubois, S., & Lever, JA. (2005). Understanding  
455 burden differences between men and women caregivers: the contribution of care-recipient problem  
456 behaviors. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 17 (1), 99-118.  
457

458 <sup>16</sup>Fort, MP., Castro, M., Peña, L., Hernández, SHL., Camacho, GA., Ramírez-Zeam, M. & Martínez, H.  
459 (2015). Opportunities for involving men and families in chronic disease management: a qualitative  
460 study from Chiapas, Mexico. *BMC Family Practice*, 15.  
461

462 <sup>17</sup>Ansari, RM., Hosseinzadeh, H., Harris, M. & Zwar, N. (2019). Self-management experiences among  
463 middle-aged population of rural area of Pakistan with type 2 diabetes: A qualitative analysis. *Clinical  
464 Epidemiology and Global Health*, 7, 177–183.  
465

466 <sup>18</sup>Samuel-Hodge, CD., Headen, SW., Skelly, AH., Ingram, AF., Keyserling, TC., Jackson, EJ. Ammerman,  
467 AS. & Elasy, TA. (2000). Influences on day-to-day self-management of type 2 diabetes among African-  
468 American women. Spirituality, the multi-caregiver role, and other social context factors. *Diabetes  
469 Care*, 23, 928–933.  
470

471 <sup>19</sup>Curtice, J., Clery, E., Perry, J., Phillips M. and Rahim, N. (eds.) (2019), *British Social Attitudes: The  
472 36th Report*, London: The National Centre for Social Research  
473

474 <sup>20</sup>Scottish Government (2019). Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation. Available from  
475 <http://www.gov.scot/Topics/Statistics/SIMD> Accessed 21.07.2019  
476

477 <sup>21</sup>Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Ormston, R., O'Connor, W. & Barnard, M. (2014). Analysis: Principles and  
478 processes. In J. Ritchie, J. Lewis, C. Nicholls, R. Ormston (Eds) *Qualitative research practice. A guide  
479 for Social Science students and researchers*. SAGE Publications.  
480



481 <sup>22</sup> Lacey, A. & Luff, D. (2007). *Qualitative data analysis*. The NIHR RDS for the East Midlands /  
482 Yorkshire & the Humber.  
483

484 <sup>23</sup> Beverly, E.A. & Wray, L. A. (2010). The role of collective efficacy in exercise adherence: a  
485 qualitative study of spousal support and Type 2 diabetes management. *Health Education Research*,  
486 *25 (2)*, 211-223.  
487

488 <sup>24</sup> Berg, CA. & Upchurch, R. (2007). Developmental–Contextual Model of Couples Coping With  
489 Chronic Illness Across the Adult Life Span. *Psychological Bulletin*, *133 (6)*, 920-954.  
490

491 <sup>25</sup> Bodenmann, G. (2005). Dyadic coping and its significance for marital functioning. In T. Revenson,  
492 K. Kayser, & G. Bodenmann (Eds.), *Couples coping with stress: Emerging perspectives on dyadic*  
493 *coping* (pp. 33 – 50). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.  
494

495 <sup>26</sup> del Rio-Lozano, M., Garcia-Calvente, Mdel M., Marcos-Marcos, J., Entrena-Durán, F., Maroto-  
496 Navarro, G. (2013). Gender Identity in Informal Care: Impact on Health in Spanish Caregivers.  
497 *Qualitative Health Research*, *23 (11)*, 1506-1520.  
498

499 <sup>27</sup> Bourdieu, P. (1998). *Masculine domination*. Stanford: Stanford University of Press.  
500 Carmichael, F. & Charles, S. (2003). The opportunity costs of informal care: does gender matter?  
501 *Journal of Health Economics*, *22*, 781-803.  
502

503 <sup>28</sup> Carmichael, F. & Charles, S. (2003). The opportunity costs of informal care: does gender matter?  
504 *Journal of Health Economics*, **22**, 781–803.  
505

506 <sup>29</sup> Figueiredo, D., Jacome, C., Gabriel, R., Marques, A. (2016). Family care in chronic obstructive  
507 pulmonary disease: what happens when the carer is a man? *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*,  
508 *30*, 721-730.  
509

510 <sup>30</sup> Navaie-Waliser, M., Spriggs, A., & Feldman, P. (2002). Informal caregiving. Differential experiences  
511 by gender. *Medical Care*, *40*, 1249-1259.  
512

513 <sup>31</sup> Martire, LM., Lustig, AP., Schulz, R., Miller, G., Helgeson, VS. (2004). Is it beneficial to involve a  
514 family member? A meta-analysis of psychosocial interventions for chronic illness. *Health Psychology*,  
515 *23 (6)*, 599-611.

516

517 <sup>32</sup> Armour, TA., Norris, SL., Jack Jr, L., Zhang, X. & Fisher, L. (2005). The effectiveness of family  
518 interventions in people with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Diabetic Medicine*, *22*, 1295-  
519 1305.

520

521 <sup>33</sup> Michie, S., Richardson, M., Johnston, M., Abraham, C., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., Eccles, M. P.,  
522 Cane, J. and Wood, C. E. (2013). The Behavior Change Technique Taxonomy (v1) of 93 Hierarchically  
523 Clustered Techniques: Building an International Consensus for the Reporting of Behavior Change  
524 Interventions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *46*, pp. 81-95.

525

526 <sup>34</sup> Falconier, M. (2013). Traditional gender role orientation and dyadic coping in immigrant Latino  
527 couples: Effects on couple functioning. *Family Relations*, *62*, 269-283.

528

529 <sup>35</sup> Siddiqui, MA., Khan, MF. & Carline, TE. (2013). Gender differences in living with diabetes mellitus.  
530 *Materia Socio Medica*, *25(2)*, 140-142.

531

532 <sup>36</sup> Carter-Edwards, L., Skelly, AH., Cagle, CS. & Appel, SJ. (2004). "They care but don't understand":  
533 Family support of African American women with type 2 diabetes. *The Diabetes Educator*, *30 (3)*, 493-  
534 501.

535

536 <sup>37</sup> Van Boemal, GB. & Paul, P. (1999). Effects of adherence to traditional female sex roles on  
537 compliance with diabetic regimens. *Journal of visual impairment and blindness*, *93(1)*, 432-439.

538

539 <sup>38</sup> National Records of Scotland (2018). Scotland's census 2011: Ethnicity, Identity, Language and  
540 Religion. Available from [https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/ethnicity-identity-language-and-](https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/ethnicity-identity-language-and-religion)  
541 religion Accessed 23.07.2019

542

543 <sup>39</sup> NHS (2019). Going for regular check-ups: Type 2 diabetes. Available from  
544 <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/type-2-diabetes/going-regular-check-ups/> Accessed 23.07.2019

545

546 <sup>40</sup>Diabetes UK (2019). Diabetes Clinics. Available from [https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-diabetes/young-adults/diabetes-clinics)  
 547 [diabetes/young-adults/diabetes-clinics](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-diabetes/young-adults/diabetes-clinics)\_Accessed 23.07.2019

548

549 <sup>41</sup>Knutsen, IR., Foss, IC., Todorova, E., Roukova, P., Kennedy, A., Portillo, MC., Regaira, E. et al.  
 550 (2015). Negotiating diet in networks: a cross-European study of experiences of managing type 2  
 551 diabetes. *Qualitative Health Research*, 1-12.

552

553

554

555 **Table 1. Participants' characteristics**

	<b>People with type 2 diabetes</b>	<b>Relatives</b>
<b>No</b>	10	13
<b>Duration of type 2 diabetes</b>	Range: 3 weeks – 18 months Mean: 7.9 months Median: 6.5 months	-
<b>Route to diagnosis</b>	5 presenting GP with symptoms; 3 periodic screening; 1 visit GP for other reasons; 1 after gestational diabetes	-
<b>Relationships with the person with type 2 diabetes</b>	-	6 daughters, 3 wives, 2 husbands, 1 son, 1 mother.  6 share genetics but live apart from the person with type 2 diabetes  2 share genetics and live together  5 do not share genetics and live together
<b>Gender</b>	5 male, 5 female	10 female, 3 male
<b>Age</b>	Range: 37-71 years Mean: 53.6 years Median: 51 years	Range: 18-68 years Mean: 41.17 years Median: 45.5 years
<b>SIMD (Scottish Index of</b>	Range: 2-10	Range: 2-10

<b>Multiple Deprivation)</b>	Median: 6	Median: 6
<b>Education</b>	9 had education after high school (2 PhD, 1 MSc, 2 BAs/BSc, 1 one year at university, 1 Diploma, 1 Police promotion exam, 1 HNC, 2 current students)	9 had education after high school (3 PhD, 1 MSc, 2 BAs/BSc, 2 college, 1 SHND, 3 current students)
<b>Employment</b>	4 full-time, 3 retired, 2 unemployed, 1 part-time	4 full-time, 4 part-time, 2 unemployed, 1 self-employed, 1 retired, 1 other
<b>Relationship status</b>	8 in a relationship, 2 single	12 in a relationship, 1 single
<b>Family history of diabetes</b>	5 yes, 5 no Number of relatives with diabetes: 1-4	8 yes, 5 no Number of relatives with diabetes: 1-4
<b>How they heard about the study</b>	5 word of mouth (relative who took part or someone who saw advert) 2 University of Stirling portal 1 Diabetes UK newsletter 1 Poster at a community centre 1 Local Diabetes support group social media page	7 word of mouth (through someone who took part or someone who saw advert) 2 University of Stirling email advert 2 University of Stirling portal 2 Local council intranet

556

557

558 **Table 2. Participants and form of interview**

<b>Form of interview</b>	<b>Participants' characteristics in the context of the study</b>
Interviewed together	<p>A man with type 2 diabetes (I2P1, 55 years old, 6 months since diagnosis), his wife (I2R3, 54 years old) and daughters (I2R2, 23 years old and I2R4, 20 years old).</p> <p>A man with type 2 diabetes (I3P2, 47 years old, 2 months since diagnosis) and his wife (I3R5, 46 years old).</p>

	<p>A man with type 2 diabetes (I6P4, 70 years old, 3 months since diagnosis) and his wife (I6R7, 60 years old).</p>
<p>Interviewed separately</p>	<p>A woman with type 2 diabetes (I4P3, 39 years old, 7 months since diagnosis) and her husband (I8R8, 47 years old).</p> <p>A woman with type 2 diabetes (I10P6, 65 years old, 6 months since diagnosis) and her daughter (I11R10, 29 years old).</p> <p>A woman with type 2 diabetes (I13P7, 60 years old, 10 months since diagnosis) and her husband (I13R12, 60 years old).</p> <p>A daughter of a man with type 2 diabetes (I16R13, 45 years old) and her parents (I6P4, I6R7).</p>
<p>Interviewed alone (relative did not take part)</p>	<p>A woman with type 2 diabetes (I7P5, 45 years old, 3 weeks since diagnosis).</p> <p>A woman with type 2 diabetes (I14P8, 47 years old, 18 months since diagnosis).</p> <p>A man with type 2 diabetes (I15P9, 37 years old, 8 months since diagnosis).</p> <p>A man with type 2 diabetes (I17P10, 71 years old, 18 months since diagnosis).</p> <p>A mother of a man with type 2 diabetes (I1R1, 68 years old, son diagnoses in the past 6 months).</p> <p>A daughter of a man with type 2 diabetes (I5R6, 18 years old, father diagnosed 8 months ago).</p> <p>A daughter of a man with type 2 diabetes (I9R9, age not reported, father diagnosed 6 weeks ago).</p> <p>A son of a man with type 2 diabetes (I12R11, 24 years old, father diagnosed 11 months ago).</p>