Adaptive DC stabilizer with reduced DC fault current for active distribution power system application
Chen, Dong; Xu, Lie; Yu, James

Published in:
IEEE Transactions on Power Systems

DOI:
10.1109/TPWRS.2016.2571063

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Author accepted manuscript

Link to publication in ResearchOnline

Citation for published version (Harvard):
https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2016.2571063

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179 for details of how to contact us.
Adaptive DC Stabilizer with Reduced DC Fault Current for Active Distribution Power System Application

Dong Chen, and Lie Xu, Senior Member, IEEE and James Yu

Abstract— This paper takes a systematic view on the control and protection of medium power DC networks in an active distribution power system considering fault current limiting, system control and converter design. Reduced terminal capacitance and extra DC impedance are used to limit DC fault current and reduce the required converter current rating for medium power DC networks. An adaptive DC power stabilizer is proposed to alleviate possible system instability brought by the fault current limiting settings in the presence of constant power load. The effect of the current limiting method and the proposed stabilizer on DC fault current and stability enhancement are validated by simulation studies using a simple two-converter DC network and a multi-terminal DC network in an active distribution power system.

Index Terms— DC power system, DC protection, DC stabilizer, active distribution power system, DC microgrid

I. INTRODUCTION

DC power system has again become an attractive option in power transmission and distribution due to increasing power converter penetrations in modern power systems [1]. Modern power electronics converter based DC power systems can help traditional AC power systems to cope with arising renewable power generation and Electric Vehicle (EV) issues with more flexible controllability [2]. A DC power system can also benefit from simpler control compared to AC networks with no concern on frequency and reactive power. Furthermore, DC links transmit power with no need for synchronizing the inter-connected AC sides [3]. Such features make DC power system a promising solution to connect large AC power grids and reconfigure medium voltage distribution power systems [4].

As to the power distribution system, DC systems also simplify the integration of the mainstream renewable generations, photovoltaic and wind turbines for instance, as such generations all contain DC links. If these DC links are connected together along with storages and DC loads, a DC microgrid is formed [5-8]. A common DC/AC converter in such systems can save one-off cost and possibly give rise to lower conversion loss if most energy are consumed and stored on the DC side [6]. The concept of the DC microgrid can be extended to medium power distribution system with multiple DC/AC connections to form a future active distribution power system [9]. For example, the proposed DC network can be expanded up to a few kilometers between weak AC feeders. This allows a distribution system to gain additional AC voltage control ability and redistribute its instant power flow in a continuous and more optimal way [4] than a hard switch based active distribution power system [10] without increasing AC fault current. Such configuration further benefits from its compatibility with EV charging station.

Protection [11][12], and in particular the effective protection of the converters after a DC fault due to the discharging of the DC link capacitors and fault current feeding from AC side via the freewheeling diodes in DC/AC converters is one of the major concern of modern DC power system. Fast acting DC Circuit Breakers (DCCBs), e.g. semiconductor based [13] can effectively isolate the fault within a short period (typically less than 1 ms) though with increased cost and conduction power loss. On the other hand, mechanical AC and DC Circuit Breakers [14] have negligible losses and lower cost but are with slow breaking response, typically over a few tens of mini-seconds. Fault Current Limiting (FCL) techniques are proposed to reduce the peak fault current and its rising rate to facilitate circuit breaking. Series impedance injection is the basic idea considered in DC fault current limiting. It can be divided into two main types: resistive and inductive. Superconductor based techniques are employed in resistive type FCL, but they consume considerable power during steady state operation in order to maintain superconductivity [15][16]. The other type is inductive based FCL [17] which can effectively limit fault current rising rate and reduce peak fault current though it does not reduce (or might even increase in some cases) the total discharging energy during a DC fault. If the DC current and fault energy can be limited to allow the converter to survive the fault transient before current interruption, AC side breakers or slow mechanical DCCBs can provide a much simpler and cost effective solution compared to the fast DCCB option.

Constant power loads (CPL) can potentially introduce system instability in a DC power system. The stabilization of CPLs in a small scale DC network within a confined area has
been well studied [18-29]. Adding physical resistors were initially suggested though with considerable power losses [18]. Active damping was proposed thereafter [19-29]. Impedance matching based techniques were developed to modify the small signal characteristics at the point of load from the source side control [19-22], though with a fixed power flow direction. Global system stabilization was proposed based on state-space modeling to provide damping for bidirectional power flow [23-25]. However, both of the above two stabilization methods require real-time knowledge of the overall system configuration. This requires high bandwidth communication, which is neither economical nor reliable in a DC system with a considerable distribution length. In addition, the system configuration is not likely to be fixed in a broader network, where intermittent renewable sources, plug-and-play loads and system reconfigurations are expected. Local stabilization techniques are also proposed to be implemented on the load side [26-28] or constant power generation side [29]. The main drawback of these techniques is that they require the access to the control of the target converter terminals including their instant power, which makes these methods not applicable to systems with inaccessible constant power terminals. In addition, the rigid configurations of stabilizing algorithms make them sensitive to the variations of constant power terminals and system configurations.

The measure taken for fault current limiting using increased DC inductance and reduced DC capacitance can further tense up the instability problem along with considerable distribution length, which has rarely been investigated before. And the stabilization of a more expanded DC system, typically up to a few kilometers, with autonomous variable load has rarely been explored with DC protection simultaneously considered. In this paper, a systematic view on protection, stability control and converter design is taken to enable the application of medium power DC system with considerable distribution length. An adaptive stabilizer is proposed to compensate local negative impedance based on its own local detections hence no need for high bandwidth global information acquisition. The proposed adaptive control is also independent from both the constant power terminal and the grid side conditions, and the flexibility of being able to incorporate into CPL terminal control if required.

The paper is organized as followings. Section II briefly introduces the concept of medium power DC system for active distribution network and Section III analyzes DC fault behavior with various DC terminal impedances. Section IV presents the principles of the proposed integrated DC system with both DC fault current limiting and adaptive stabilization capabilities. Time domain case studies are performed in Section V and VI with a single power terminal and a three-terminal DC network embedded into an active distribution power system, respectively. The conclusion is finally drawn in Section VII.

II. INTRODUCTION TO ACTIVE DISTRIBUTION POWER SYSTEM WITH MVDC NETWORK

With the increasing penetration of renewable generation on the distribution power system, the growing intermittent power could potentially give rise to over-voltage or under-voltage at the “last-mile” feeders of a distribution power system. Medium power DC links can therefore be placed in between these weak feeders to improve their voltage profile and provide more flexible power flow regulations as is shown in Fig 1. As the possible increasing load demand of EV charging may arouse further load mismatching, one option is to integrate the charging station (and other renewable generations) on the DC link side as shown in Fig. 1. In this way the charging load flow and intermittent renewable power can be managed in a more flexible way. Such a system could further benefit from emergency power supply from the charging station along with renewable sources when there is an outage on the AC utility grid side.

![Fig. 1. DC network in an active distribution power system](image)

For a multi-terminal DC network within an active distribution power system, the AC/DC converters may be located some distance away from the loads including CPL (e.g. charging station) and for economical reasons existing overhead line paths may be used for DC distribution. As a result, possible DC fault has to be considered. Protecting the converters from DC faults and, meanwhile, ensuring DC system stability when there is considerable distance between the loads and AC/DC converters have to be dealt with.

III. DC FAULT AND FAULT CURRENT LIMITING

For medium power DC and distribution network applications, two-level VSCs are commonly used. In this section, typical DC fault behavior for two-level VSC based medium power DC power systems is analyzed.

A. DC fault of two-level VSC

In a two-level VSC based DC power system, the most critical fault is DC pole-to-pole short, as is shown by Fig. 2 where \( C_f \) refers to the terminal capacitance, \( L_{ac} \) is the AC reactance, \( R_I \) and \( L_I \) are the terminal impedance at the DC side, \( V_{dc} \) is the terminal DC voltage, and \( I_{d,c} \) is the current flowing through the DC impedance.

The fault transients can be generally divided into three stages according to the circulation of the dominate fault current, which are shown in Fig. 2 [30]. At Stage ①, the main fault current largely comes from the discharging of the terminal capacitor. At Stage ②, the DC capacitor is fully discharged and the discharging current, which has reached at its peak value, circulates through the diodes and gradually decays. At Stage ③, fault current will feed from the AC side through the AC reactor \( L_{ac} \).
At stage ①, assuming all switching devices have been blocked, the fault circuit can be modeled as a serial RLC circuit. Assuming the resistance is relatively small for the worst case, the fault current is under-damped and its response in time domain can be estimated by [31]

\[ i_{DC}(t) = I_{peak} e^{-\alpha t} \sin(\omega t + \varphi) \]  

where \( I_{peak} \) is the peak fault current, and \( \alpha, \omega, \) and \( \varphi \) are the damping coefficient, resonance frequency and initial angle respectively. Assuming the energy dissipation on the resistance is negligible at the first oscillation cycle, \( I_{peak} \) can be approximated considering the complete transfer of the stored capacitor energy to the DC inductor at the end of Stage ① as

\[ C_iV_{dc0}^2 / 2 = L_iI_{peak}^2 / 2 \]  

where \( V_{dc0} \) is the initial capacitor voltage. Thus

\[ I_{peak} = \sqrt{C_i / L_i V_{dc0}} \]  

(3)

As most of the stored energy in large terminal capacitance has to go through the converter diode during the fault, it can be inferred from (2) that the reduction of terminal capacitance can effectively reduce energy flowing through the diodes during a DC fault. From (3), it can be inferred that a smaller terminal capacitance and larger fault inductance can both effectively reduce the peak fault current which will flow into the converter diodes in Stage ②. The rising time of the fault current \( T_r \) can be estimated by

\[ T_r = 2\pi / (4\omega) = \sqrt{L_i C_i} / 4 \]  

(4)

and the average current rising rate \( di_{DC}/dt \) can be approximated by

\[ di_{DC}/dt = I_{peak} / T_r = V_{dc} / 4L_i \]  

(5)

From (5), it can be inferred that the inductance plays a main role in limiting the fault current rising rate.

B. Fault behavior simulation and parametric analysis

A parametric case study is carried out in this section to investigate the effect of various parameters on fault current. The initial parameters of the DC terminal are set as the following: rated power 2 MW, AC nominal frequency 50Hz, AC and DC nominal voltage 690V and 1200V respectively, equivalent AC reactance (including both AC reactance and transformer) 0.2 p.u., \( C_i \) 20 mF with Equivalent Series Resistance (ESR) and Equivalent Series Inductance (ESL) considered [32], \( R_i \) 0.72 m\( \Omega \) and \( L_i \) 0.015 mH (equivalent impedances of a 100-meter line).

The DC fault behavior is depicted in Fig. 3(a) where the DC fault occurs at \( t = 0 \) ms. No current limiting measure is taken and the IGBTs are assumed to be blocked immediately after the fault. As a result the DC voltage \( V_{dc} \) drops to 0 and the fault current \( i_{DC} \) reaches as much as 42.27 kA (25.36 p.u.) in less than 1 millisecond. This peak current is mainly produced by the discharging of the terminal capacitor. The discharging current in Stage ① and the subsequent circulating current in Stage ② are the main fault current components after the fault. The converter diodes have to withstand such an excessive transient current with a \( di/dt \) rate of 30 p.u./ms in the worst case.

By reducing the capacitance from 20 mF to 4 mF, the results are obtained in Fig. 3 (b). It can be seen that the peak current is significantly reduced to 19.1 kA (11.46 p.u.) though the maximum \( di/dt \) remains largely unchanged. This is due to the fact that a smaller terminal capacitance has reduced the total capacitor discharging energy at Stage ① shown in Fig. 2. The fault current decays and circulates through the diodes after the DC voltage reaches 0. The infeed current from AC side becomes the main component after \( T = 7 \) ms when the most of discharging energy has dissipated.
Based on the case shown in Fig. 3 (b), an extra terminal impedance of 7.2 mΩ / 0.15 mH is added to the equivalent DC resistance and inductance $R_1$ and $L_1$ to total values of 7.92 mΩ and 0.165 mH, respectively. The fault behavior with reduced capacitance and extra DC impedance is given in Fig. 3 (c). The extra inductance further reduces the fault current rising rate during stage 1 and the peak discharging current has been suppressed to less than 4 p.u. when the DC voltage drops to 0. After $t = 4$ ms, the AC infeed current becomes the main fault current component and due to the existence of the AC side inductance it is a much slower process. The fault current reaches its peak point at 10 ms with a peak value of 10.66 kA (6.4 p.u.) and the maximum $di/dt$ rate is reduced to 2.68 p.u./ms. As can be seen, the capacitor discharging current is no longer the main component of the fault transient leading to reduced fault current flowing through the diode and their thermal rating requirement.

The DC fault case scenarios are summarized in Table I. It shows that reducing DC capacitance and increasing DC inductance at the same time can significantly reduce both the fault current and its $di/dt$.

### IV. PRINCIPLES OF THE ADAPTIVE DC POWER STABILIZER

As previous described, a reduced DC capacitances with extra impedance on the DC terminal can effectively reduce the DC fault current. However, such arrangements can potentially cause system instability especially when connecting to a remote constant power terminal [23]. To tackle this problem, an adaptive DC power stabilizer is proposed for stabilizing the DC power system with small DC capacitance and additional DC terminal impedance.

In this section, the dynamic effect brought by the extra impedance for DC fault current limiting is analyzed first to show how the FCL configuration can deteriorate system stability when there is CPL in operation. Based on the analysis, an adaptive DC power stabilizer is then proposed and installed at the CPL terminal to avoid potential system instability. Given a point-to-point DC system as shown in Fig. 4 (a), the dynamics of a single constant power terminal is analyzed in frequency domain for dynamic and stability assessment.

Defining the DC short circuit peak current $I_{peak}$ for Stage 1 as

$$I_{peak} = DCSCR \times I_{dcn}$$

(6)

where $DCSCR$ is the DC short circuit ratio and $I_{dcn}$ is the nominal DC current for the converter, which is defined by

$$I_{dcn} = \frac{P_c}{V_{dcn}}$$

(7)

Substituting (6) and (7) into (3) yields

$$DCSCR = \frac{\sqrt{C_1/L_1} V_{dcn}}{I_{dcn}}$$

(8)

Assuming $V_{dc0} = V_{dcn}$ and defining the equivalent nominal resistance $R_{dcn}$ as

$$R_{dcn} = V_{dcn} / I_{dcn}$$

(9)

there is

$$DCSCR = \frac{\sqrt{C_1/L_1} R_{dcn}}{\sqrt{2 R_{dcn}}}$$

(10)

### Table I: SUMMARY OF DC FAULT SCENARIOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>$C_1$ (mF)</th>
<th>$L_1$ (mH)</th>
<th>$R_1$ (mΩ)</th>
<th>$I_{peak}$ (p.u.)</th>
<th>$di/dt$ (p.u./ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Fig. 2(a)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>25.36</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Fig. 2(b)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>29.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Fig. 2(c)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015+0.15</td>
<td>0.72+7.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Point-to-Point Medium power DC distribution System

In a DC power system, longer constant power terminal tends to cause oscillation when there are large DC impedance and small capacitances due to the induced equivalent negative admittance [20]. Assuming the constant power flowing out from the DC network is $P_c$, there is

$$P_c = V_{dcp} I_{dcp}$$

(11)

where $V_{dcp}$ is the local DC voltage of the constant power terminal and $I_{dcp}$ is the equivalent DC current. The equivalent small signal resistance $R_p$ can be given by

$$1/R_p = -P_c / V_{dcp}^2$$

(12)

Figs. 4 (a) and (b) show the simplified diagrams of a point-to-point Medium DC power distribution system and its control, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4 (b), $Reg(s)$ is the slack terminal controller which regulates the DC voltage, and $Z_{eq}(s)$ is the equivalent virtual impedance from the slack terminal side [33]. $Reg(s)$ can be expressed as the following [33]:

$$Reg(s) = \frac{(k_p s + k_i) C_1}{(1 + T_s s)}$$

(13)

where $k_p$ and $k_i$ are the proportional and integral gains of the DC voltage PI controller, and $T_s$ is the current loop delay time constant. The equivalent virtual impedance $Z_{eq}(s)$ can be expressed as [32]

$$Z_{eq}(s) = N(s) / D(s)$$

(14)

$$N(s) = R_p L C_1 T_p s^3 + (R R_p C_2 T_p + R_p L C_2) s^2 + (R T_p + R_p C_2 + L) s + R_p + R$$

$$D(s) = R_p L C_1 C_2 T_p s^3 + (R R_p C_2 C_3 T_p + R_p L C_2 C_3) s^2 + (R_p C_2 T_p + R R_p C_2 + L C_1 + R_p C_3 T_p) s + (R_p C_1 + R C_1 + R C_2) s + 1$$

where $L$ and $R$ are the total inductance and resistance between the two terminals, respectively. $T_p$ refers to the power terminal.
power loop delay time constant.

A root locus can be drawn with variable DC SCR (varying DC side capacitance and inductance values) as Fig. 5 (a) shows based on the transfer function of

\[
\frac{V_{\text{ref}}}{V_{\text{dc}}} = \frac{\text{Reg}(s)Z_{eq}(s)}{1 + \text{Reg}(s)Z_{eq}(s)}
\]  
\[
(15)
\]

Based on Fig. 4, it is assumed that both terminals are 2MW system with nominal DC voltage at 1200V, line impedance at 0.15 mH/km and 7.2 mΩ/km, \( T_i \) at 0.2 ms, \( T_p \) at 0.8 ms, initial terminal capacitances \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) both at 20 mF, initial terminal impedance equivalent to 0.05 km line inductance. Since reducing terminal capacitance and inductance will both contribute to lower value of DC SCR, the variable capacitances \( C_1' \), \( C_2' \) and \( L_i' \) are assumed to vary at the same ratio (for simplicity) against the initial values \( C_1 \), \( C_2 \) and \( L_i \) respectively as

\[
\frac{C_1'}{C_1} = \frac{C_2'}{C_2} = \frac{L_i}{L_i'} = \frac{\text{DC SCR}}{\text{DC SCR'}} = k
\]  
\[
(16)
\]

It can be seen in Fig. 5 (a) that the system tends to be unstable when \( k \) is changing from 1 to 10 when the DC SCR’ is reduced correspondingly.

To deal with the problem caused by the extra impedance, a passive resistance in parallel with the constant power terminal is added to cancel the negative terminal admittance introduced by the constant power terminal. Adding a 1.025 MW (at nominal voltage) resistive load at the power terminal side can modify its root locus as Fig. 5 (b) shows. It can be seen that the system is stable across a large variation of DC SCR. However, the consequent power loss is excessive and such a scheme is obviously not practical. An alternative implementation is therefore proposed to employ a converter that is able to mimic the small signal behavior of a passive resistance without resistive power consumption.

To fully cancel out the negative resistance, there are

\[
1/R_{v} \geq -1/R_p
\]  
\[
(17)
\]

\[
1/R_{v} \geq \frac{P_e}{V_{dc}^2}
\]  
\[
(18)
\]

\[
1/R = 1/R_v + 1/R_p
\]  
\[
(19)
\]

where \( R_v \) is the added virtual resistance and \( R_p \) is the net resistance after compensating the negative resistance \( R_p \) that can provide extra positive damping for the DC terminal. The system configuration is demonstrated in Fig. 6 (a) where a shunt DC stabilizer is placed at the constant power terminal to actively mimic the passive resistance. The equivalent virtual impedance is shown in Fig. 6 (b). It is possible to incorporate the stabilization control into the conventional active current control of the CPL terminals if their control systems can be accessed. As is illustrated in Fig. 6 (c), the stabilizer DC current \( i_{d_{st}} \) is converted to the d-axis component \( i_d \) using the d-axis voltage \( U_d \) and is added to the active current reference when d-axis voltage oriented control is employed for the CPL.

As can be inferred from (12), the power terminal induces the largest negative incremental admittance when consuming the maximum power. Therefore, the virtual resistance is configured to compensate the negative admittance corresponding to the maximum power as

\[
R_p = -V_{dc}^2/P_{\text{max}}
\]  
\[
(20)
\]

By setting the terminal capacitance at 2 mF, constant power terminal rating at 4 MW and rated DC voltage at 1200 V, an open-loop analysis is performed with variable terminal power and the results are shown in Fig. 7 for illustration. It can be seen that a positive equivalent system resistance can be guaranteed at the maximum constant terminal power \( P_{\text{max}} \) if the virtual resistance is configured according to (19) and (20).
However, such a fixed virtual resistance designed for maximum load can result in too large open-loop gain and can induce oscillations due to its poor or even negative gain margin during light load condition, as is illustrated in Fig. 7.

In order to deal with the problem caused by the use of fixed virtual resistance, an adaptive virtual resistance is proposed. The instant negative resistance brought by the CPL can be estimated by considering

\[ R_p = -\frac{V_{dref}}{I_{dref}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where \( I_{dref} \) is the actual DC current flowing to the CPL terminal.

By using the adaptive \( R_p \) calculated using (21) rather than (20) the open loop behavior of the CPL terminal is independent of loading condition and traces a predefined response with sufficient margins, as shown in Fig. 7. Therefore, only local current and voltage detections are needed for the proposed stabilizer hence it is not sensitive to either the CPL variation or the grid side characteristics at the point of integration.

![Graphical representation of open-loop analysis for power terminal with fixed virtual resistance](image)

**Fig. 7.** Open-loop Analysis for Power terminal with fixed virtual resistance

\[ V_{ref} + \frac{1}{ZR_s} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{T_s}{T_d}} \cdot I_{dref} \]

**Fig. 8.** Adaptive Control of DC Stabilizer

Based on (19) and Fig. 6 (b), the control diagram of the proposed adaptive conditioner can be shown by Fig. 8, where a first-order high-pass filter with a large time constant is added to ensure a zero average current during steady state. In Fig. 8, the digital control and current loop delay is modeled as a first-order delay whose time constant can be equal to or larger than the switching cycle of the converter depending on control implementation.

V. CASE STUDY OF DC POWER CONDITIONER

In this section medium power DC power systems are established to test the dynamic behavior of the proposed DC stabilizer with extra fault current limiting behavior.

A single constant power terminal based DC power network is established as shown in Fig. 9. Two-level VSCs and additional DC impedances for extra fault limiting capability are employed to integrate the DC system to the AC utility grid. One VSC operates as the constant power terminal and the other is the slack terminal controlling the DC voltage. The distance between the two converters is 2 km. A small DC-DC converter with supercapacitor based energy storage system (ESS) is placed at the constant power terminal side as the power stabilizer. The constant power terminal current \( i_{pt} \), slack terminal current \( i_{st} \) and the power conditioner terminal \( i_{ess} \) are defined along with the DC voltage of the slack terminal \( V_{st} \) and power terminal with \( V_{pt} \) accordingly. Both fault current limiting inductors \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are at 0.15 mH / 7.2 mΩ. The line impedance is set at 0.15 mH/km and 7.2 mΩ/km.

![Sample system with single power terminal and conditioner](image)

**Fig. 9.** Sample system with single power terminal and conditioner

By deactivating the power stabilizer, the system is tested with a defined power ramp of 0.2 MW/s from the constant power terminal. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 10 (a). It can be seen that when the power terminal starts to drain power from the DC network, the current are balanced by the slack terminal accordingly. However, when the power reaches approximately 1.1 MW at \( T = 5.7 \) s, the system starts to oscillate since the remote slack terminal cannot accommodate the negative resistance produced by the constant power load.

![Simulation results](image)

(a) without adaptive power stabilizer
The enhancement of the proposed power terminal section. 

On the contrary shown in Fig. 10 (b), the DC stabilizer is activated and the power ramp of the constant power terminal starts from \( T = 0 \) s and ends at \( T = 10 \) s. The DC voltages are well regulated throughout. The power stabilizer only consumes 50 A at its peak, which is less than 3% of the rated current of the constant power terminal and gradually drops to zero after the ramp indicating only a small energy and power rating is required for the storage.

VI. APPLICATION OF MVDC NETWORK WITHIN AN ACTIVE DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

To further examine the effectiveness of the DC current limiting capability and stability enhancement of the proposed system configuration and power stabilizer concept, a 7-feeder active distribution power system is established as Fig. 11 shows with its parameters listed in Table II. A three-terminal medium power DC network is inserted between Feeder 3 and Feeder 6 with an EV charging station incorporated.

VSC 6 (at Feeder 6) is designed to be the main constant power terminal within the DC power system whose internal control is typically accessible by the system operator for illustration. On the other hand, a charging station is incorporated in the DC system operating as an inaccessible/independent constant power terminal. VSC 3 operates as the slack terminal throughout the tests in this section.

A virtual DC stabilizer is incorporated within the accessible constant power terminal side (i.e. VSC 6) as shown by the dotted diagram in Fig. 11 and an actual DC stabilizer is installed at the inaccessible power terminal of the charging station in Fig. 11. All the DC terminals are designed with extra fault limit capability and the charging station is assumed to be able to isolate the DC fault current with galvanic isolated topology.

Fig. 12(a) shows the DC fault behavior at VSC 3 which connects to Feeder 3. The system starts with VSC 6 importing a ramp power of 0.25 MW/s to the DC network and the charging station is idle. When a DC fault occurs at 0.2 s, both DC voltages at VSC 3 and 6 quickly drop to almost 0 within 10 ms. Upon detection of the fault, both VSC 3 and 6 are blocked and the DC fault currents rise up to 8 p.u. at VSC 3 \((I_{DC3})\) and 6 p.u. at the VSC 6 \((I_{DC6})\). The corresponding AC fault currents \(I_{AC3}\) and \(I_{AC6}\) rise up to about 300 A (8.57 p.u.) and 210 A (6 p.u.) at VSC 3 and VSC 6 respectively. At 0.3 s, the circuit breakers at the AC sides of the VSC 3 and 6 are tripped to break the DC fault. As a result, the fault currents at both AC and DC sides are gradually extinguished and DC network is de-energized.

As the IGBTs of the converter are blocked after the fault, the VSC fault current all goes through the diodes. Diodes’ accumulated \(\Gamma^2\) during transient is a good indicator for considering their transient overload capability. The

![Graph](image-url)
accumulated $\int I^2t$ of Phase A upper diode is shown in Fig. 12 (b) which reaches 3.083 kA$^2$s within 100 ms after the fault till current interruption. By choosing the diodes with average current rating at 3000 A (1.8 p.u. based on nominal AC current), the maximum $\int I^2t$ allowance is 4.48 kA$^2$s [35], which is sufficient for the diodes in the VSC to withstand the over-current before fault interruption. Since modern commercially available DC circuit breaker can normally interrupt current in 40-60 ms [14], there is sufficient timing tolerance in this case.

Fig. 12. DC fault thermal stress in $\int I^2t$ (b) Diode thermal stress in $\int I^2t$

Fig. 13. AC fault current at Feeder 7 (Fault 2)

Fig. 13 shows the AC fault current comparison when an AC fault occurs at Feeder 7 (Fault 2 shown in Fig. 11). The system operates at steady state when a three phase short happens at Feeder 7 at 0.2 s. The fault current reaches about 5 p.u. and then drops back to about 4.5 p.u. when there is a medium power DC network. On the contrary, when the DC link is replaced by a 2 km AC distribution line between Feeders 3 and 6, the AC fault current is increased to approximately 7.5 p.u. as shown in Fig. 13. This demonstrates the superiority of the DC link over the AC link, as it does not reduce the equivalent short circuit impedance whereas the additional AC connection does.

Figs. 14 (a) and (b) compare the performances with and without the stabilizer at the charging station under charging load ramp conditions. In Fig. 14 (a), both the stabilizing control in VSC 6 and the charging station are deactivated. A ramp load of 0.5MW/s is consumed at the charging station from $T = 0$ s till it reaches its full rating of 2 MW and the power is fully accommodated by VSC 3 as VSC 6 is given zero power order. It can be seen that when the charging power rise up to 1.9 MW, the DC system starts to oscillate. On the contrary, the ramp charging load test is performed in Fig. 14 (b) with charging side stabilizer activated. It can be seen that no oscillation is induced throughout this test. The maximum power shared by the stabilizer is less than 0.1 MW, which is 5% of the load rating. The stabilizing power gradually moves towards 0 from 4 s, since the stabilizer function only provides dynamic response due to the addition of the high-pass filter design shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 14. Single stabilizer performance at the charging station with ramp charging load; $P_{\text{DC6}}$: power consumed by VSC 3, $P_{\text{charg}}$: power discharged from the charging station, $V_{\text{DC}}$: DC voltage at the charging station bus, $P_{\text{cond}}$: power discharged by the DC stabilizer.

With the charging side stabilizer activated, Figs. 15 (a)
and (b) compare the system performance without and with the DCV 6 stabilizing control (virtual stabilizer) during power ramp of VSC 6 from 0 to 2 MW at 0.5 MW/s starting from 0 s. As shown in Fig. 15 (a) significant voltage oscillation is induced when the VSC 6 load increases to approximately 1.9 MW. However, when the stabilizing control is activated the oscillation is eliminated and system is completely stable across the whole power range, as can be seen in Fig. 15 (b). The maximum power of the actual stabilizer is only 0.01 MW (when system stable) indicating that the remote power terminal and its additional stabilizing control has negligible effect on the stabilizer at the charging station side. This result shows that the proposed stabilizer is not sensitive to remote variations.

The results shown in Figs. 14 and 15 clearly show that the proposed stabilizers can effectively eliminate undesirable oscillations caused by additional DC side impedance and constant power load in medium power DC network of an active distribution power system. In order to effectively stabilize the DC power system, real and/or virtual stabilizers need to be located close to the constant power loads. It can also be seen that the stabilizer (either physical or virtual) can effectively neutralize instability effect though; it has very little effect on the remote constant power terminal and vice versa. The required power rating of the stabilizer is very small compared to the power rating of the system and thus the additional cost of the stabilizer is trivial compared to the overall system cost. In addition, this allows the adoption of simple fault current limiting method using additional DC inductance and reduced DC capacitance. As the fault current and discharged energy are significantly reduced, this can lead to reduced converter size (diodes) and simple protection arrangement.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a medium power DC system solution considering DC fault current limiting and stability for active distribution power system has been investigated. By replacing the normal open switch with a DC link, the distribution network achieves improved power distribution control and loadability without increasing AC fault current.

Increasing DC inductance and reducing DC capacitance of the DC terminals can effectively reduce VSCs’ peak fault current, current rising rate and accumulated diode I1 before fault current interruption. However, this technique can give rise to instability when there are large CPL terminals within the medium power DC system due to the amplification of CPL’s negative impact on small-signal stability by the additional DC inductance and reduced DC capacitance. To overcome the adverse effect on stability and alleviate sensitivity to system operational conditions, an adaptive DC stabilizer with limited power rating requirement is proposed which can either be placed close to the inaccessible CPL terminal or with its control function embedded into an accessible CPL control as a virtual stabilizer. The proposed DC stabilization scheme requires only local measurements and enables the use of simple fault current limiting methods by effectively stabilizing a DC system of considerable distribution length. The stabilizing control has been validated by simulations of a two-terminal DC system and a multi-terminal DC system in an active distribution network.
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